AN ÕTARI REFERENCE GRAMMAR

BY

DAVID JOHNSON

SECOND EDITION



Nidderdale University Press

MMXIV

First edition distributed by Google Sites January 2012- October 2013 Second edition, revised and enlarged by the author, distributed by Word Press/Language Creation Society 2014

Published in Harrogate, North Yorkshire by the NUP in association with the Centre for Tekuan Studies at the University of Nidderdale

Series: Occasional Papers in Tekuan Studies, no. 1

Typeset in Verdana 12 point by unionised labour and printed on recycled pixels.

© David Johnson, 2012-

RDA Cataloguing-in-Publication Data:

Johnson, David, author

An Õtari reference grammar / by David Johnson. - Second edition. - Harrogate, North Yorkshire [United Kingdom]: Nidderdale University Press, 2014. - 134 pages; 30 cm.

(Occasional papers in Tekuan studies; no. 1)

University of Nidderdale. Centre for Tekuan Studies, publisher.

Õtari language--Grammar

CONTENTS

Abbreviations		9
Acknowledgments		10
1. INTRODUCTION		12
1.1. A Short History		12
1.2. Lemohai and the	e Õtari Revival	13
1.3. Typology of Õta	ri	13
2. PHONOLOGY		14
2.1. Vowels		14
2.2. Diphthongs		14
2.3. Triphthongs		15
2.4. Vowel Sandhi a	nd Allophony	15
2.5. Consonants		16
2.6. Consonant Sand	lhi and Allophony	17
2.7. Syllabification		18
2.8. Prosody		18
2.9. Dialectal Variati	ons	19
2.10. Writing System	1	19
3. MORPHOLOGY		20
3.1. Nouns		21
3.1.1. Common	n Nouns	21
3.1.2. Noun Co.	mpounding and Affixation	21
3.1.3. Personal	Nouns	23
3.1.4. Place Na	mes	25
3.1.5. Kinship	Terms	26
3.1.6. Personal	Pronouns	28
3.1.7. Indefinit	te Pronouns	29
3.1.8. Reflexive	e and Reciprocal Pronouns	29
3.1.9. Interrog	ative Pronouns	29

	3.1.10. Relative Pronouns	29
	3.1.11. Locative and Demonstrative Pronouns	29
	3.1.12. Possessive Pronouns	30
	3.1.13. Quantifiers	30
	3.1.14. Numbers	31
3.2. \	Verbs	32
	3.2.1. Dynamic Verbs	33
	3.2.2. Dynamic Intransitive Voice	33
	3.2.3. Active Transitive Voice	34
	3.2.4. Active Ditransitive Voice	35
	3.2.5. Dynamic Passive Voice	36
	3.2.6. Reflexive Voice	37
	3.2.7. Reciprocal Voice	37
	3.2.8. Eventive Voice	37
	3.2.9. Causative Voices	38
	3.2.10. Stative Verbs	39
	3.2.11. Absent Voices	40
	3.2.12. Deriving Dynamic Verbs from Statives	40
	3.2.13. Deriving Dynamic Causatives	40
	3.2.14. Stative Passive Voice	41
	3.2.15. Preposition and Adverb Incoroporation .	41
	3.2.15.1. Translating Phrasal Verbs	41
	3.2.15.2. Applicative Voices	12
	3.2.16. Intentionality and Performance 4	!3
	3.2.17. Mutiple and Additional Verbal Affixes 4	14
	3.2.18. Additional Notes on Verbal Affixes	45
	3.2.19. Reduplication of the Verb	46
3.3. F	Particles 4	47
	3.3.1. Adverbial Particles	<i>17</i>
	3.3.2. Prepositions 4	18
	3.3.3. Conjunctions 4	19
	3.3.4. Classifers	19
	3.3.5. Interjections 5	51
	2 2 6 Focus Particles	5 1

	3.3.7. Polarity Particles	<i>5</i> 2
	3.3.8. Etiquette	<i>52</i>
1	PHRASES	E1
4.		
	4.1. Noun Phrases	
	4.1.1. Co-ordination of Noun Phrases	
	4.1.2. Subordination of Noun Phrases	
	4.1.3. Quantifier Phrases	
	4.1.4. Pronoun Phrases	
	4.1.5. Combining Types of Noun Phrase	
	4.1.6. Noun Phrases Modified by Verb Phrases	
	4.1.7. Noun Phrases Modified by Particle Phrases	
	4.1.8. Noun Phrases Modified by Clauses	64
	4.1.9. Modification of Co-ordinate Noun Phrases	64
	4.1.10. Noun Phrases Subordinate to Verb Phrases	65
	4.1.11. Noun Phrases Subordinate to Particle	
	Phrases	66
	4.2. Verb Phrases	66
	4.2.1. Co-ordination of Verb Phrases	66
	4.2.2. Subordination of Verb Phrases	62
	4.2.3. Verb Phrases Modified by Noun Phrases	68
	4.2.4. Verb Phrases Modified by Particle Phrases	70
	4.2.5. Noun Phrases Modified by Clauses	71
	4.2.6. Modification of Co-ordinate Verb Phrases	<i>72</i>
	4.2.7. Verb Phrases Subordinate to Noun Phrases .	<i>73</i>
	4.2.8. Verb Phrases Subordinate to Particle Phrases	;
	Phrases	<i>75</i>
	4.2.9. Comparison of Verb Phrases	<i>75</i>
	4.3. Particle Phrases	76
	4.3.1. Adverbial Particle Phrases	76
	4.3.2. Prepositional Phrases	77
	4.3.3. Focus Phrases	
	4.3.4. Particle Phrases Discussed Elsewhere	
	4 3 F. Co-ordination of Particle Phrases	90

	4.3.6. Particle Phrases Modified by Particle Phrases	81
	4.3.7. Conjunction Phrases	81
5	CLAUSES	25
J .	5.1. Clauses with Nominal Predicates	
	5.1.1. Possessive Clauses	
	5.1.2. Locative Constructions	
	5.1.3. Existential Constructions	
	5.1.4. Statements of Identity	
	5.1.5. Proper Inclusion	<i>87</i>
	5.1.6. Equative Clauses with Copular Verbs	<i>87</i>
	5.2. Clauses with Verbal Predicates	88
	5.2.1. Atransitive Clauses	89
	5.2.2. Intransitive Clauses	89
	5.2.3. Transitive Clauses	94
	5.2.4. Indirect Object Clauses	95
	5.2.5. Double Object Constructions	96
	5.2.6. Serial Verb Constructions	97
	5.3. Placement of Non-Core Material	100
	5.4. Negation	103
	5.5. Questions and Answers	105
	5.5.1. Polar Questions	105
	5.5.2. Confirmation Questions	108
	5.5.3. Exclamatory Questions	110
	5.5.4. Questions with Question Words	110
	5.6. Exclamations	111
	5.7. Commands and Exhortations	112
	5.8. Requests and Suggestions	
	5.9. Performatives	
		113
		114

6.	PRAGMATICS		115		
	6.1. Topicality		115		
	6.1.1. Topicality a	nd Valence Adjustment	115		
	6.1.2. Topicality a	nd Transitivity	116		
	6.1.3. Topicality a	nd Pronoun Dropping	116		
	6.2. Focus		119		
	6.2.1. Focus by Mo	ovement	. 119		
	6.2.2. Focus by Movement with Nominal Predicates				
	6.2.3. Focus by Mo	ovement with Verbal Predicates	121		
	6.2.4. Focus with	Adjuncts	123		
	6.2.5. Focus with	Focus Particles	124		
	6.2.6. Focus with	Movement and Particles	124		
	6.2.7. Focus or Re	duplication?	127		
7.	MULTI-CLAUSE SENT	ENCES	126		
	7.1. Co-ordination of	Clauses	126		
	7.1.1. Conjunction	ı	127		
	7.1.2. Disjunction		128		
	7.2. Comparison of C	Clauses	129		
	7.3. Relative Clauses		130		
	7.4. Adverbial Clause	es	132		
	7.4.1. Locative Cla	auses	133		
	7.4.1.1. Tempora	al Locative Clauses	133		
	7.4.1.2. Spatial L	ocative Clauses	134		
	7.4.2. Manner Cla	uses	135		
	7.4.3. Purpose Cla	nuses	135		
	7.4.4. Reason Clau	uses	136		
	7.4.5. Sequence C	lauses	136		
	7.4.6. Result Claus	ses	<i>137</i>		
	7.4.7. Circumstan	tial Clauses	138		
	7.4.8. Conditional	Clauses	138		
	7.4.1. Hypothetic	cal Conditional Clauses	140		
	7.4.2. Counterfac	ctual Conditional Clauses	140		
	7.4.3. Negative (Conditional Clauses	141		

7.4.9. Concessive Clauses		141
7.4.10. Substitutive Claus	es	142
7.4.11. Additive Clauses		142
7.4.11. Additive Clauses		143
7.5. Complement Clauses		143
7.5.1. Object Complement	t Clauses	144
7.5.2. Subject Compleme	nt Clauses	145

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I'd like to record my thanks here to all those members of the international "conlang" (constructed language) community who have helped with the production of this document, particularly to members of the Zompist Bullein Board and the Language Creation Society.

Past and present members of the ZBB have answered my questions down the years about my ideas for Õtari language, my earlier conlangs and language in general. LCS members have helped by providing hosting and support for my new website **tekuo.conlang.org**.

I would also like to thank my family for their patience throughout this project.

I could not have done it without you all.

The Author

José's Bar Copacabana Beach Summer 2014

ABBREVIATIONS

Linguistic abbreviations are used throughout the examples in this document. Some are even standard. Here they all are for reference:

Ø – Null Argument	HON - Honorific
1,2,3 – Numbers of persons (of pronouns)	IMP – Imperative
Adjc - Adjunct	INCL - Inclusive
Adv – Adverb	INS – Instrumental
AdvP - Adverbial Phrase	INTENT – Intentionality
AGT – Agent	IO - Indirect Object
CAUS - Causative	LOC – Location ; Locative
CLFR - Classifier	NEG – Negative
COL - Collective	N - Noun
COMPL - Complementiser	NP – Noun Phrase
CONF.Q – Confirmation Question Particle	O - Object
Conj – Conjunction	PartP - Particle Phrase
ConjP - Conjunction Phrase	PEJ – Pejorative
DAT – Dative	PP – Person Plural
DEM.DIST – Distal Demonstrative	Prep - Preposition
DEM.MED – Medial Demonstrative	PrepP – Prepositional Phrase
DEM.PROX – Proximal Demonstrative	Prn - Pronoun
DO – Direct Object	PS – Person Singular
DYN.INTR – Dynamic Intransitive Voice	Q – Question Particle
DYN.PAS – Dynamic Passive Voice	RECP - Reciprocal Voice

DYN.TR – Dynamic Transitive Voice	REFL – Reflexive Voice
EQ – Equative	REL - Relativiser
EVT - Eventive	S - Sentence ; Subject
EXCL – Exclamation ; Exclusive	STV.PAS – Stative Passive Voice
FOC – Focus Particle	SVC – Serial Verb Construction
FocP – Focus Phrase	V - Verb
FocQ – Focus Question Particle	VP – Verb Phrase

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. A Short History

Õtari was the original language of **Mohai**, prior to its incorporation into the **Heitak Empire**. It was a member of the **Dahu** language family. Õtari is no longer spoken but three other Dahu languages survive in an area to the west of Mohai.

The Õtari people had a peaceful matrilinial family-orientated society. They organised themselves into three to seven loosely-organised kingdoms (the number varied over time).

They had basic metal-working techniques and could produce spears, helmets, shields and swords. They were great sailors and built a variety of vessels. Naval warfare was almost unknown to the Õtari, but they set sail often to fish, to trade or to travel more quickly and safely than they could on land.

They called their homeland **Dora Odace**, meaning **(The) Blessed Country** in Õtari.

Dora Odace had a wetter climate than the lands to the south which made it attractive to the *Roheitak*. When invasion came, the Õtari proved no match for the warlike and organised Roheitak with their navy, cavalry and body armour.

A large Roheitak minority soon established itself as overlords and ran Dora Odace as a slave-labour, plantation economy, growing cereals and vegetables in much greater quantities than could be grown further south.

The invaders' **Classical Leheitak** language belonged to the **Kelma** language family. It co-existed with Õtari until the collapse of the Heitak Empire and persisted for a couple of hundred years afterwards.

In the early days of Empire, Õtari remained the sole language the natives used amongst themselves, but Classical Leheitak had greater prestige, as the language of the rulers. Gradually, it won more speakers and took over more public functions.

Imperial period Õtari was subject to rapid change. It incorporated many Leheitak loanwords and a base-12 counting system in place of the traditional base-5. A local dialect of Leheitak developed too, adopting Õtari words for local flora, fauna and cultural practices.

1.2. Lemohai and the Õtari Revival

Gradually, a new language emerged. This new tongue took much of its grammar from Õtari and most of its vocabulary from Leheitak. It was named *Lemohai*, the language of *Mohai*. After the empire collapsed, Lemohai was eventually adopted as the official language of an independent Mohai.

In present day Mohai, there is a revival of interest in learning Õtari for the heritage value. Traditional poems and stories may be found in the language, plus a few new works. Learning Õtari is particularly popular amongst members of Metal Age re-enactment societies. These meet at weekends to live the simple life in recreated Õtari-style villages.

Õtari was well-documented, mostly by Roheitak scholars rather than native speakers, but we have enough material to reconstruct a decent Õtari sketch grammar with some confidence.

As far as knowledge permits, this grammar avoids changes made to Õtari during the imperial era. It also avoids changes made by revivalist speakers to adapt Õtari to modern life. The aim is to present a preimperial Õtari, so readers can better see the nature of the Dahu languages.

1.3. Typology of Õtari

Õtari was a **Subject-Verb-Object** language. It had the **nominativeaccusative** alignment type, so marked a similar range of sentence roles to English.

Unlike English though, it treated nouns in the genitive case like accusatives. This made Õtari a *Type 3* language in *Milewski's typology*. English is Type 1.

It had **zero-marking** for grammatical inflections, but used agglutination for semantic derivations. Word order was strict and important. Õtari was **head-initial**, putting heads before dependants in almost all phrases.

2. PHONOLOGY

Õtari had 28 phonemes and 3 allophones. They are presented here using the **North Axoku Hyperspace Bureau transliteration table, no. 1-2-13**. Where necessary, pronunciations are clarified with the help of the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA).

2.1. Vowels

The language had five oral and five nasal vowels. It is not thought that these varied significantly outside of diphthongs and triphthongs. They are shown in the table below.

Vowels		
	Front	Back
High	i, ĩ	u, ũ
Mid-Low	e/ε/, ẽ	o/ɔ/, õ
Low	a, ã	

Single vowels were always enunciated clearly. They were never swallowed up as unstressed vowels often are in English.

The nasal vowels were exact nasal counterparts of the oral ones. So they behaved like Portuguese nasal vowels as in the phrase *um bom vinho branco*.

They were not like the French vowels as in *un bon vin blanc*. These vowels are not the ones suggested by the spelling. An exception was nasal *e*, which is followed by a *[j]* glide in Portuguese, but not in Õtari. Nasal vowels are transcribed here with the accent the Portuguese call *til*.

2.2. Diphthongs

Vowels were classed as strong (a, ã, e, e, e, o, o) or weak (i, i, u, u). Any strong vowel-weak vowel combination was a valid diphthong in Õtari, provided both were oral or both nasal. The strong vowel kept its full value

and the weak vowel weakened towards /i/ or /w/.

Two weak vowels could also combine if both were oral or both nasal. In these cases, the first vowel weakened.

In nasal diphthongs, only the strong vowel carried the til, though both were nasalised.

Waika - Yellow, etc. Akuo - Behind

Duã - One ; Thumb Ãitu - Long

Two strong vowels were not permitted next to each other.

2.3. Triphthongs

Any strong vowel between two weak vowels was a valid triphthong, provided the vowels were all oral or all nasal. Again, only the strong vowel took the til in nasal combinations, though all the vowels were nasalised.

Guai – You (singular, low status) **Akuãi –** Crab

2.4. Vowel Sandhi and Allophony

Where vowels met at word boundaries, unstressed weak vowels diphthongised, as described above. A rising diphthong plus a weak vowel created a triphthong at word boundaries. As noted above oral-nasal pairings were not allowed *(see 2.2-2.3)*.

```
Fãtu esukai = /'φãtuɛ'sukai/ [diphthong across boundary]

Fruit fresh

"Fresh fruit"
```

```
Aku aira = /'a'kuaira/ [triph. at boundary - note stress]

Island south

"South Island"
```

The first of two strong vowels was lost on compounding.

Ciro, $cat + Ek\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$, $wild = Cirek\tilde{\mathbf{u}} - Wild cat$

Where a falling diphthong preceded another vowel, its final vowel became **y** or **w** in compounds.

Nomai, word + **Atau**, sharp = **Nomayatau** - Taboo or swear word

2.5. Consonants

There were eighteen consonant phonemes, shown in the table below.

Consonants					
		Labial	Coronal	Middle	Velar
Stops	Nasal	m	n	ny / ɲ/	
	+Voice	b	d		g
	-Voice	р	t		k
Affricates	+Voice			j /dʒ/	
	-Voice			c /tʃ/	
Fricatives		f /ф/	s	x /ʃ/	
Laterals			I		
Rhotics			r		
Glides		(w)		У	w

P, **T** and **K** were unaspirated.

N, D and T were dental.

L was clear as in *leaf*, not dark as in *fall*.

 \boldsymbol{R} was normally a tap, like single \boldsymbol{r} in Spanish \boldsymbol{pero} .

2.6. Consonant Sandhi and Allophony

Two strong vowels were separated by an unwritten glottal stop, [7] at word boundaries. [7] was also required between a rising diphthong and a strong vowel.

A stressed weak vowel did not weaken at word boundaries, but was preceded by [7], like a strong vowel.

Some linguists believe the glottal stop was not required after a triphthong or falling diphthong. We will never know for sure. Ancient sources are not clear and practice varies amongst contemporary revivalists.

```
Lowa esukai = /'lowa ?ɛ'sukai/ [+ glottal]

Water fresh
"Fresh water"

Yomai uleme = /'jɔmaj u'lɛmɛ / [- glottal]

Fish rotten
"Rotten fish"
```

An unwritten **m** or **n** appeared between two strong nasal vowels across word boundaries. They were probably not required where a nasal diphthong could be formed, though there is some dispute about the matter.

Contemporary revivalists favour /m/ after the two high vowels i and u, and /n/ after the rest. Once again, what the historical Õtari people did is unknown.

```
Iwã ãitu = /'iwãn 'ãitu/ [+m]
lit. River long - "Long river"
```

BUT -

Ijũ ῖρe = /'i'jũῖρε/ [nasal diphthong across boundary]
lit. Cease doubt - "Cease to doubt"

Akuãi iwã = /'akuãi '?iwã/

[2nd vowel not nasal, so add glottal stop]

Crab river - "River crab"

R became a trill when word initial. Again, it followed Spanish, as in **roja**.

2.7. Syllabification

The following were the only syllable patterns permitted in Õtari.

(C)V; (C)VV; CVVV

2.8. Prosody

Stress always fell on the **penultimate syllable**. Few clues have been left to us about the intonation patterns of Õtari, as ancient Roheitak writers did not trouble themselves with such matters. However we do know that questions should be asked with a questioning intonation.

The language seems to be hospitable to other English intonation patterns and these are similar to the intonation patterns of Õtari's partial descendant, Lemohai. Their use is therefore suggested, except as noted below.

Õtari usually followed Spanish in having no clear breaks between words. Breaks occurred where the unwritten glottal stop was introduced between vowels (see 2.6, above).

Here are some examples of Õtari intonation patterns, annotated for three pitches: **1-low**, **2-medium**, **3-high**. The forward slash: / represents another exception to the "no breaks between words" rule. It indicates an Indonesian-style pause, that fell between subject and predicate, except in rapid speech.

Fai / cenye 2 / 31

I eat

"I am eating"

Ule xukã / yele lowa 2 2 3 2 / 3 2 2 1

Man this drink water
"This man is drinking water"

2.9. Dialectal Variations

At this stage we only have texts go on and about half of these come from around Orisu, the modern capital. Texts are scarcest in remote mountain areas, the very places most likely to exhibit dialectal variation. It is often therefore difficult to distinguish personal, orthographic choices from regional ones.

However, we know that phonemic /ts/ was common throughout the north and that an extra vowel /i/ had some currency in the south, with both oral and nasal varieties. It may have had wider usage as an allophone of /i/, before velar, and perhaps palatal and post-alveolar, sounds.

2.10. Writing System

Õtari was not written down until some hundred and fifty years before the Roheitak invasion. Even then, little was written in the language.

Most towns and a few villages eventually had their own chronicles. The practice began in the Orisu area and depended on the availability of a literate person, usually a priest. There were also royal records and a few collections of folk literature. Much has been lost down the years, but a decent-sized corpus still remains.

Õtari scribes adapted the Classical Leheitak alphabet to their own language. Nasality on vowels was shown by a diacritic and most consonants had their own letter. Proper nouns were not capitalised in writing, as the alphabet did not contain capitals, though they are capitalised here in transliteration.

3. MORPHOLOGY

Õtari words were divided into of three broad classes: **nouns, verbs** and **particles**. Class boundaries were more fluid than in European languages. Words frequently passed unaltered from one class to another. The change was signalled purely by word order.

Each broad class had a number of important sub-classes. There was no dedicated class for modifying words like adjectives and adverbs. Modification was viewed as a process. It was signalled by word order, so it is discussed under **Section 4**, **Phrases**.

Root words tended to have one or two syllables, though longer ones existed. They were overwhelmingly observational and tended to refer to the natural world, as the Õtari were closer to nature and had less possessions than a modern society.

Jĩ - House ; Hut **Bele -** Bone

Oyo – Food **Uleme -** Rotten

Non-observational nouns either referred to social structures and practices, or they were of a religious or mythological nature. Abstract words in the modern sense were comparatively rare.

Kiēda – Story **Māja** – Traditional lore or knowledge

Maicu - Idea ; Concept ; Thought

Compound words put the substantive element before the qualifier. Like substantive-first languages in our world, Õtari only allowed compounds to consist of two elements. The meaning of some compounds was closely related to the meaning of their parts, though others were less literal.

Oxu - Hall Kuari - Assemble ; Meet

Oxu-kuari - Village meeting hall

There were no inflectional affixes only derivational ones. This is unlike most European languages, though like Indonesian, which has only one inflectional affix and a wealth of derivational ones. A change of word class sometimes required an affix, but was often signalled merely by word

order.

3.1. Nouns

The class of nouns covered all entities. Defined formally, a noun was any word capable of acting as the subject of a clause. By this definition, pronouns are a sub-class of nouns. Other important sub-classes were common and proper nouns.

3.1.1. Common Nouns

Common nouns were not marked for number, gender, case or definiteness. Given this lack of inflection, a bare common noun was subject to some interpretation.

Case was shown by prepositions and word order, number was shown by quantifiers. Gender was not a grammatical category. Definiteness was shown fitfully by word order, as shown in **Section 5**, **Clauses**.

3.1.2. Noun Compounding and Affixation

Compound nouns were compounds with a root noun as their substantive element. The qualifier could be another noun, a particle or a verb.

```
Xoli - Meal ; Euna - Happy
Xoli-euna - Feast (i.e. "Happy meal"!)
```

Common affixes used with nouns are shown in the table below:

Noun Affixes		
Õtari	English	Notes
Go-	Agent	Performer of action ; -er

Se-	Patient	That which is acted upon ; -ee
U-	Instrument	Possibly related to ukuo , " tool "
Pei-	Collective	A group, seen as linked
Kua-	Place	Also separate word
Jĩ-	Building	Also word for "house", "hut"
Oxu-	Building	Also word for "hall"
Sau-	Person	From salu, "person"
Cada-	Animal	Also separate word
Lõba-	Plant	Also separate word
Rã-	Thing	Also separate word

Here are some examples:

Go- masũ

AGT-hunt

"Hunter"

Kua-doru

LOC- holy

"Holy place"

Pei- gemo

COL-war

"Warband"

Note that **pei-** is no mere plural. The group must have some kind of unity, such as the common purpose of the warband in the last example. A noun could not add more than one word or affix. Affixation could change spelling.

Go- masũ yomai

AGT-hunt fish
"Fisher"

Kua-doru Lebai Nosue

LOC-holy Mother Tekuo
"Place sacred to Mother Tekuo"

Pey-ule bosã [+1 affix, +1 noun]

COL-man mountain

"Gang of men of/from the mountains"

Full reduplication of a noun indicated extensiveness. **Bokai** meant "copse", "wood" or "forest", but a **bokai-bokai** could only be a "large forest".

3.1.3. Personal Nouns

A full personal name consisted of five elements. First names tended to express or embody desirable qualities. Some were gender specific, some not. A boy's first name was followed by his father's first name and a girl's first name was followed by her mother's.

Some Common Personal Names			
Õtari	Sex	English	
Akule	M/F	Honour	
Guei	F	Pleasant	
Ime	M/F	Gold	
Ixe	F	Sky	
Keu	М	Wind	
Kuõi	F	Sweet	
Kurã	F	Peace ; Harmony	

Namaito	М	Wise
Odace	M/F	Blessed
Õtau	М	Great
Potã	F	Jewel
Runyo	М	Eagle
Seku	M/F	High
Sukũde	М	Lucky

The third element was the preposition *mi*, "in". Then came the name of the extended family, or *mofu*. This was the name of a founder figure, real or mythological. This element often resembled the kind of names shown above though sometimes it was in an older form of the language that no longer made sense.

Finally, there came a clan name. Mohai had around fifty clans, or **yetau**, during the Õtari period. Most were named after a totem animal, though a few had totem plants. Many of these clans survive today. Most have Lemohaised their names, a few have not. One or two went by Lemohai names for a while then re-Õtarised, as interest in the ancient tongue increased.

Some Important Clan Names		
Õtari	English	
Yetau Akuãi	Clan of the Crab	
Yetau Bakũ	Clan of the Hawk	
Yetau Kuaitã	Clan of the Dragon	
Yetau Roxu	Clan of the Frog	
Yetau Saiwa	Clan of the Snake	
Yetau Ũci	Clan of the Pig (Hog)	

Fortunately, a person's full names was not usually necessary. Here are a couple of names to show how the system worked:

Akule Potã mi Saji Baku

Honour Jewel in [? Mofu] Hawk [Clan]

Known to history as **Queen Akule**, she ruled the Kingdom of **Eyola**, and was the last Õtari ruler to hold out against the advancing Roheitak.

Odace Namaito mi Kurã Akuãi

Blessed Wise in Peace [Mofu] Crab [Clan]

Known through a popular cycle of folk tales as **Odace Namaito**, he appears as an itinerant judge, attached to an unnamed kingdom. His judgements showed Õtari people how to uphold custom law, but temper it with mercy, creativity and common sense. We cannot at this distance tell whether he was a real figure, based on a real figure, or purely legendary.

Note that his third name is feminine. This is the name of the alleged founder of his mofu. Õtari society was matrilinear, so most men had a feminine mofu name. The remainder had names whose meaning had been lost, as in the previous example.

3.1.4. Place Names

Place names usually described local features though quite a few were named after people or events associated with the location. Most consisted of two elements, but they could be longer.

Ta- yoku [Tai + yoku] Field rock "Field of Rocks"

Jĩ- bosã [Jĩ + bosã]
Hut mountain
"Huts in the Mountains"

```
Iwãn-õtau [Iwã + õtau]
```

River great

"Great River"

Kua- kule [Kua + Akule]

Place Akule

"Place of Honour", or perhaps, "Place belonging to (a person called) Honour" (maybe even to Queen Akule herself)

Teme coi, Yomai Xuãite!

See IMP, fish leap!
"See, Fish Leap!"

The last example is a rather curious village name from the north. Like a number of similar names, it recalled some long forgotten incident.

3.1.5. Kinship Terms

Õtari society was matrilinear and matrilocal. Kinship terminology reflected this in that its prime aim was to distinguish relatives in the paternal and maternal lines. The system also distinguished relative age in some close relationships.

The <u>Iroquois</u> of North Eastern USA have a patrilinear society but use similar terminology, though without age distinctions. The <u>Yanomamo</u> system is also similar.

Kamuai - Father ; Father's Brother

Lebai - Mother ; Mother's Sister

Wotai - Brother ; Sister ; Parallel Cousin

(i.e. Child of Father's Brother or Mother's Sister)

Asū – Own Child; Child of Your Same-Sex Sibling or Parallel Cousin (Not to be confused with **atei**, which means child in the sense of non-adult)

Kute - An asũ who has grown-up

Bãci - Child of Your Opposite-Sex Sibling or Parallel Cousin

Taga - Mother's Brother

Sopa - Father's Sister

Lie - Cross Cousins

(Children of Father's Sister or Mother's Brother)

Yonu - Child of Cross Cousin

Suffixes marked seniority within a generation.

-ra - Older

-pu - Younger

Wotai-ra - Older brother/sister/parallel cousin

Kamuai-pu - Father's younger brother

Xome - Husband

Moja - Wife

These terms were combined with other terms to indicate in-laws.

Xome Wotai – Husband of sister or parallel cousin

To distinguish children by gender add as separate word:

Asũ roi – Male asũ

Asũ kuei - Female asũ

To distinguish lineages add a separate word:

Liẽ lebai – Cross cousin in the mother's lineage (Mother's Brother's child)

The verb and preposition bietu means over, above or to be over/above. It may follow a kin term in a compound to distinguish generations:

Kamuai-bietu

Father-over

Grandfather

Lebai-bietu

Mother-over

Grandmother

3.1.6. Personal Pronouns

The language had personal pronouns in singular and plural form, for each of three persons. Third person pronouns did not distinguish between humans and non-humans, nor by gender. There were two types of first person plural, one that included the addressee(s) and one that excluded them.

Each of these seven basic concepts was further divided by three levels of politeness: equative, honorific and pejorative. The use of these reflected the relative social status of the interlocutors.

Personal Pronouns				
Person	Õtari			English
	Pej.	Eq.	Hon.	
1PS	Tau	Fai	Wã	I; Me
2PS	Guai	Si	Kũ	You
3PS	Rai	E	Nya	He; She: It: Him; Her
1PP	Wu	Do	Ве	We (incl.); Us (incl.)
	Wode	Ucĩ	Bede	We (excl.) ; Us (excl.)
2PP	Buã	Tai	Xãu	You
3PP	Xiã	Ata	Motu	They; Them

Pronouns were used much less than in English, as any that could be understood from context were dropped (See **Section**, **Clauses** below).

3.1.7. Indefinite Pronouns

A handful of general nouns doubled-up as indefinite pronouns. These were followed by a vague quantifier, then a third element, a numeral classifier (see *3.3.4 Numeral Classifiers*, below). Here are some examples of indefinite pronouns. More are given in the Dictionary.

Rã suo ja

Thing all GENERAL.CLFR "Everything"

Salu duã koye

Person one head.CLFR "Somebody"

3.1.8. Reflexive and Reciprocal Pronouns

Õtari had no need of these, as both reflexivity and reciprocity were marked by verbal voice.

3.1.9. Interrogative Pronouns

Interrogative pronouns fused the nouns/indefinite pronouns **salu** and **rã** with the question particle **mẽ**, to give **melu**, "**who?**" and **mã**, "**what?**". If the speaker was radically short of information, the all-purpose **marẽ**, "**who/what?**" was available.

3.1.10. Relative Pronouns

Unlike in English, the interrogative pronouns did not double-up as relative pronouns. As we shall see, the language has no need for relative pronouns (See **7.3 Relative Clauses**).

3.1.11. Locative and Demonstrative Pronouns

Three locative words served as pronouns, and adverbs: **xuã**, **"here"**; **kuo**, **"there"**; **ro**, **"yon"**. These combined with the affix -**kã**, of uncertain origin, to produce the three demonstratives: **xukã**, **"this"** or **"these"**; **kuokã**, **"that"** or **"those"**; **roikã**, **"yon"**.

3.1.12. Possessive Pronouns

There was no single word for "mine", "yours", etc. Instead the word rã, "thing" or "something" had to be used, followed by a personal pronoun: rã fai, "mine", etc., as juxtaposition was the only way to indicate possession. Pejorative and honorific forms could also be used. These referred to the status of the possessor, not the thing possessed: rã tau, approx. "little old me's", not *"some worthless thing of mine".

3.1.13. Quantifiers

Quantifiers were vague expressions of number and mass. It seems odd to our eyes to rank them amongst the pronouns, but the language lacked a modifier class and they can be used pronominally in English, for example:

Sawa polai

Come many "Many came"

Used as noun modifiers, they required the presence of a numeral classifier (see *3.3.4 Numeral Classifiers*, below). Used as pronouns, they stood alone.

The main ones were:

```
Suo - All, both, every ; Wei - Few Polai - Many
```

There was no equivalent of English "some" in the plural sense. You had to choose between *polai* and *wei*. The dividing line depended on context. A hundred grains of rice would be a few, a hundred trees would be many, unless you were in a forest, when it too could be a few.

However, a partiative "some" was available: nye, which also meant portion. Obe, "zero", also did duty as "none" and as "no" in the quantitative sense.

3.1.14. Numbers

Numbers ranked amongst the pronouns for similar reasons. Like quantifiers, they had a pronominal usage though it was not their main one.

Sawa seura

Come hundred
"A hundred came"

The Õtari originally counted in base 5, the number of fingers on one hand. Indeed the word for "one" also means "thumb", the word for "two" is also "finger", and the word for "five" is the same as "hand". (The words for "three" and "four" are of uncertain origin).

Under the Heitak Empire, the Õtari switched to the base 12 system favoured by the Roheitak. Base 12 counting will be dealt with under Classical Leheitak and Lemohai, so I will confine my attention here to base 5.

Numbers			
		Õtari	English
0		Obe	Zero
1		Duã	One ; Thumb
2		Aja	Two ; Finger
3		Bake	Three
4		Sinye	Four
10	1x5	Kã	Five ; Hand
11	(1x5)+1	Kã duã	Six
20	2x5	Ajakã	Ten

22	(2x5)+2	Ajakã aja	Twelve
100	5x5	Nime	Twenty-Five
102	(5x5)+2	Nime aja	Twenty-Seven
213	(2x(5x5)+(1x5)+3	Ajanime kã bake	Fifty-Eight
1000	5x(5x5)	Seura	Hundred and Twenty-Five

Ordinal numbers were formed by preceding the cardinal numbers above with the word **po**, "**rank**", or, "**position**": **po duã**, "**first**", etc. To translate last, combine **po** with the verb **kaya**, "**finish**", or, "**complete**": **po kaya**.

Fractions were formed in a similar way, with **nye** "portion" (see also **3.1.13 Quantifiers** above), or some in the partiative sense. **Nye bake**, "a third", etc.

Reduplication was used for emphatic numbers: **seura-seura**, "**hundreds**", etc.

Approximate numbers took the preposition **bini**, "**near**": **bini kã**, "**about five**"; "**fiveish**".

As with quantifiers, all these number forms could stand alone as pronouns or be used as noun modifiers in conjunction with a classifier.

3.2. Verbs

The class of verbs referred to actions and states as in English, but also to qualities (which the Õtari viewed as extended states). The class therefore included words we would call adjectives and manner adverbs. The verb class divided into two sub-classes: dynamic and stative verbs. Many stative verbs corresponded to our adjectives, but not all.

Sẽgo – Run (dynamic)

Polu – Stand (stative)

Euna – Be happy (stative)

Defined formally, a verb was any word capable of standing alone as the predicate of a clause. They could also act as the attribute of a noun or of another verb.

Voice and transitivity were the main features of verbal morphology. They were both parts of a unified marking system, referred to here as voice. Each verb had an unmarked **home voice** based on the most typical case. A verb then took any additional voices that made semantic sense, marking them with a prefix. The home voice also served as the verbal noun and infinitive.

Jîbe – Build (something) ; Builds ; Building ; To build (transitive)

In addition, the verb took derivational affixes to indicate intention and direction of action.

Verbs were not marked for tense, mood or aspect. Tense and aspect were shown, if at all, by adverbial particles. Mood was shown by separate verbs. (See **Section 5.2.6, Serial Verbs** below)

Teme – See ; Saw ; Has seen ; Was seeing (etc.)

3.2.1. Dynamic Verbs

A dynamic verb referred to an action. All root dynamic verbs had an agent-like subject and many took other arguments in addition. Most could also derive voices with non-agentive subjects.

3.2.2. Dynamic Intransitive Voice

This voice had a single subject noun phrase. Verbs of motion, thinking or feeling tended to fall into this class.

Esã sego

Woman run

"The woman ran"

Dynamic verbs with additional arguments took **mo**- to make them intransitive. (**M**- before initial strong vowels - **a**, **e**, **o**). These derived intransitives often had a generic quality to them, suggesting habit or ability.

Fai mo-teme

1PS.EQ DYN.INTR-see

"I see" (things in general) ; "I am able to see"

Sukūde mo-paya

Lucky DYN.INTR.hit

"Lucky hits (out)" (in no particular direction);

"Lucky goes round hitting things"

3.2.3. Active Transitive Voice

Active transitive verbs were all dynamic. They had an agent-like subject and a patient-like object.

Sukūde paya Runyo

Lucky hit Eagle"
"Lucky hit Eagle"

Do jîbe jî

1PP.INCL.EQ build hut
"We built a hut"

Tau teme go-imelu

1PS.PEJ see AGT-medicine

"I see the medicine woman"

The first person pejorative is used in the last example, as the speaker is of low social status compared to the medicine woman. Her gender was not specified as herbal medicine was an occupation reserved for women.

Many verbs had the active transitive as their home voice. Active transitive verbs could be derived from active intransitives by use of a *causative voice*, see *Section 3.2.9 Causative Voices* below.

3.2.4. Active Ditransitive Voice

Active ditransitive verbs were also all dynamic. They had subject and object plus a third argument, usually an indirect object, but sometimes a locative, as in English.

Motu olu jĩ xenya jo asũ kuei motu

3PP.HON give hut new DAT child girl 3PP.HON "They gave the new hut to their daughter"

Motu kuri yere põi agu

3PP.HON put flower on table "They put the flowers on the table "

Only a few verbs had this as their home voice. Other verbs could be made active ditransitive by preposition incorporation, see **Section 3.2.15 Preposition Incorporation** below.

Active transitives could be derived from ditransitives by the prefix \mathbf{se} -, (\mathbf{s} -before strong vowels).

Motu se-kuri yere

3PP.HON put flower
"They put down/placed the flowers"

Motu s-olu jĩ xenya

3PP.HON DYN.TR-give hut new "They gave away the new hut"

Intransitives were derived, as above, by use of m(o)-. Again, this often had a habitual reading.

Motu m-olu-olu

3PP.HON DYN.INTR~give~give
"They give loads of stuff away";
"They are very generous"

Note the honorific pronoun, here. Successful and high status extended families (**mofu**) were expected to be generous, especially towards their own clan.

3.2.5. Dynamic Passive Voice

The language had two passive voices dynamic and stative. Both raised the object of a dynamic transitive verb to subject position. Thus, all passives were derived.

The dynamic passive was marked with the prefix **b(e)**-. Its subject was a patient that was currently undergoing the action. The agent was left implicit or added with the instrumental preposition **ga**.

Sukūde be-paya (ga Runyo)

Lucky DYN.PAS-hit (INS Eagle)
"Lucky was getting hit (by Eagle)"

With ditransitive verbs, only the direct object could be raised this way. The indirect object had to be raised twice, in effect (see **Section 3.2.17 Multiple and Addional Verbal Affixes** below).

Yere b-olu jo esã ga ule

Flower DYN-PAS.give DAT woman INS man

"The flower was being given to a/the woman by a/the man"

BUT NOT ...

*Esã b-olu yere ga ule

Woman DYN.PAS-give flower INS man

"The woman was being given a/the flower by a/the man"

3.2.6. Reflexive Voice

This had a single argument which was both agent and patient. It referred to entities acting upon themselves. It was marked by the prefix xu- (x-before another u). All reflexive verbs were derived from active transitives.

E xu-oxute

"3PS.EQ REFL-wash"

She washed herself

Ucĩ xu-teme

"1PP.EXCL.EQ REFL-see"

We saw ourselves

3.2.7. Reciprocal Voice

This voice also had a single agent/patient argument. It referred to entities acting upon each other and was shown by the prefix **d(o)**-. All reciprocal verbs were derived from active transitives.

Do d-otome

1PP.INCL.EQ RECP-meet

"We met each other"

Xiã do-kuore

3PP.PEJ RECP-watch

"They watched one another"

3.2.8. Eventive Voice

The eventive focussed on the action or state. The agents, and many of the patients, of eventive verbs were unknown or unimportant. A small number of verbs had this as their home voice, mostly verbs concerned with natural phenomena. Derived eventives added the prefix p(a)-.

Asue

Rain "Rain fell" ; "It rained"

Pa-mabai te deku

EVT-dance LOC village
"There was dancing in the village";
"Dancing took place in the village"

3.2.9. Causative Voices

The causative added an extra argument, as subject, to any of the voices above. Hence, it is better to speak of a family of causative voices, rather than a single causative voice. Nonetheless, all causatives shared the one prefix, $k\tilde{a}i-/k\tilde{a}y$ -.

Added to an intransitive verb, the result was a transitive. The cause became the new subject and the old subject dropped to object position.

E kãi-soru rofa

3PS.EQ CAUS-die goat "He killed a/the goat"

Added to a transitive verb, the new subject was a controller directing the agent. The agent dropped to object and was followed by the original patient object in a double-object construction.

Motu kãi-cenye asữ motu sĩtaji rai 3PP.HON CAUS-eat child 3PP.HON vegetable 3PS.PEJ "They made their child eat his vegetables"

It is not always clear how to read a string of four nouns, as in the second example, but context and intonation usually made things clear.

English causative constructions use two verbs to Õtari's one. Õtari reserved such constructions for indirect and delayed causation (see also

Section 5.2.6 Serial Verb Constructions and **Section 7.5.1 Object Complements**). It also needed a complementiser which English does not.

*Guai ekatu nya malai

2PS.PEJ cause 3PS.HON fall "You caused him to fall"

Guai ekatu jẽ nya malai

2PS.PEJ cause COMPL 3PS.HON fall "You caused him to fall"

3.2.10 Stative Verbs

A stative verb referred to a state. The stative sub-class included what English-speakers refer to as qualities. To the Õtari these were just long-standing states. There was no separate adjective or manner adverb classes.

This meant stative verbs served as main predicate items without the verb to be and as modifiers of nouns and verbs without alteration. (This latter usage is also dealt with in **Section 5.2.2, Intransitive Clause**s, below).

Ucī polu mesu

1PP.EXCL.EQ stand still
"We stood still"

Yere aumî

Flower red
"The flower was red"

Esã euna

Woman happy
"The woman is happy"

3.2.11. Absent Voices

Stative roots were inherently intransitive, so they had no need for voices to promote objects, so they lacked the following voices paradigm: active transitive, active ditransitive, passive, reflexive and reciprocal.

3.2.12. Deriving Dynamic Verbs from Statives

Dynamic intransitive verbs could be derived from stative root verbs by using the usual dynamic intransitive prefix m(o)-. These were "becoming" verbs (inchoative verbs), ones whose subject was a patient changing state. The cause of the change was not expressed.

Ixe mo-kõbi

Sky DYN.INTR-dark "The sky darkened"

Fai m-euna

1PS.EQ DYN.INTR-happy "I became happy"

Dynamic intransitives could be derived from stative verbs by means of the reflexive prefix x(u)-.

Ata xu-eiko

3PP.EQ REFL-sit "They sat down"

3.2.13. Deriving Dynamic Causatives

The causative prefix, **kãi-/kãy**- could also be added to stative roots. This created an active transitive verb by demoting the stative subject to object and introducing a new subject argument.

Pey-alua kãi-kõbi ixe

COL.bird DYN.INTR-dark sky
"The flock of birds darkened the sky"

Si kãy-euna fai

2PS.EQ CAUS-happy 1PS.EQ "You make me happy!

3.2.14. Stative Passive Voice

As noted, the language had a dynamic passive (**Section 3.2.5**, above) whose subject was being acted on. The stative passive by contrast expressed the state of the subject after being acted upon. It was marked by o(t)-.

Aku o-dace

Island STV.PAS-bless
"The island is blessed" ;
"The blessed island"

Alua o-tobi ga go-masũ

Bird STV.PAS-catch INS AGT-hunt
"The bird was caught by a/the hunter"

As with the Dynamic Passive, only direct objects could by raised with the stative passive. The indirect object had to be raised twice (see **Section 3.2.17 Multiple & Additional Verbal Affixes**, below).

3.2.15. Preposition and Adverb Incorporation

Any Ötari preposition, and any locational or directional adverb could be incorporated into the verb. This happened in two situations.

3.2.15.1. Translating Phrasal Verbs

English has a lot of expressions in which a preposition regularly accompanies a verb. They are normally used with a prepositional argument, but can be used without.

He climbed up the tree He climbed up

In the first example **up** is clearly a preposition. It heads the prepositional

phrase *up the tree*. In the second, it is variously described as an intransitive preposition (one without a referent), an adverb, or part of the phrasal verb to climb up. In Õtari, such words were usually prefixed to the verb.

E xuri mõba cotu

3PS.EQ climb up tree "He climbed up a/the tree"

BUT: **E mõba-xuri** 3PS.EQ up-climb "He climbed up"

However, phrasal verbs should not be translated this way if they have a non-literal meaning. These expressions are best rendered into Õtari by a serial verb construction.

Take the phrasal verb **to fall down**. Falling is always downwards, so the **down** merely adds emphasis. For emphasis, Õtari added the verb **kaya**, to finish, to do completely.

E malai kaya

3PS.EQ fall finish
"He fell down"

(For more details on Serial Verbs, see **Section 5.2.6, Serial Verb Constructions**, below).

3.2.15.2. Applicative Voices

Applicatives were also formed by preposition incorporation. They promoted a prepositional object to direct object. The new applied object was seen as more topical than usual, but not as topical as a subject. Noun phrases after the applied object were likely to be new information.

E mõba-xuri cotu ga kutã

3PS.EQ up-climb tree INS rope
"He climbed up a/the tree with a/the rope"

E ga-xuri kutã mõba cotu

3PS.EQ INS-climb rope up tree
"He climbed up a/the tree with a/the rope"

Where the sentence already had an object, a double object construction resulted. The applied object came before the natural object. This happens in English with our dative shift construction, as in the second sentence below. In Õtari, this could also happen with instrumentals and locatives.

Ule olu yere aumī jo esã

3PS.EQ give flower red DAT woman
"The man gave a/the red flower to a/the woman"

Ule j-olu esã yere aumī

3PS.EQ DAT-give woman flower red
"The man gave the woman a red flower"

E kuri yere põi agu

3PS.EQ put flower on table "She put a/the flower on the table"

E põi-kuri agu yere

3PS.EQ on-put table flower "She put a/the flower on the table"

3.2.16. Intentionality and Performance

Verbs could also take prefixes to show intention and performance. As with voices, each verb had an implicit home intentionality and took prefixes to show the rest. Unlike with voices, home intentionality could be marked for emphasis.

The purposive marker was W(e)- and the non-purposive L(u)-. Yet(a)-showed that someone was pretending to do something.

Malai – Fall (implicitly accidental)

Lumalai – Fall (+emphasis on accidentalness)

Wemalai – Drop (+Purpose)

Yetamalai – Feint a fall (+Pretence)

A further three markers evaluated performance. $Aj\tilde{u}(w)$ - showed success, up(e)- failure, and ny(e)- an attempt.

Tobi – Catch (implies success)

Ajūtobi - Successfully catch

Upetobi – Fail to catch ; Mishandle

Nyetobi – Try to catch

3.2.17. Multiple and Additional Verbal Affixes

The verb often took several of the above affixes. When this happened, the order was:

Intent./Perf. - Voice - Prep. - Root Verb

A noun phrase could therefore be promoted from oblique or required third argument to subject, provided it was notionally promoted to object first, as in the first example.

Cotu o-mõba-xuri ga e

Tree STV.PAS-up-climb INS 3PS.EQ

"The tree was climbed up by him"

Esã j-ot-olu yere ga ule

Woman DAT-STV.PAS-give flower INS man

"The woman was given a/the flower by a/the man"

Sukūde lu-o-paya ga Runyo

Lucky ACDNT-PAS-hit INS Eagle

"Lucky was accidentally hit by Eagle"

Upe-we-malai – Fail to drop (+Fail, +Purpose)

3.2.18. Additional Notes on Verbal Affixes

Two other affixes were commonly used with verbs: -cukã, reversed an action, like English "un"-, or "dis"-. -kuõ, signified "ability to be X-ed". As an independent word it also translated our verb "can" and our adjective "easy".

```
Jîbe - build ; Jîbe-cukã - dismantle.

Kuố jîbe - can build ; Jîbe-kuố - buildable (build easily)
```

Verbs were formed from nouns by the addition of voice markers:

Aku - Island

M-aku

DYN.INTR-island
"Get cut off; Become isolated"

Kãy-aku

CAUS-island
"Isolate (sthg.)"

Ot-aku

STV.PAS-island
"Cut off; Isolated"

Two affixes familiar from their use with nouns were: go-, which derived an agentive noun and \tilde{i} - which derived a patientive noun

Gomasũ

AGT-hunt hunter

Ĩmasũ

```
PAT-prey prey
```

3.2.19. Reduplication of the Verb

The full reduplication of a verb signalled intensity. The root was repeated but not affixes. Elsewhere on this site, full reduplication remains hyphenated, even where glossing conventions are not involved.

Runyo paya-paya Sukude

```
Eagle hit~hit Lucky
"Eagle hit Lucky hard"
```

Sukūde be-paya-paya ga Runyo

```
Lucky DYN.PAS-hit~hit INS Eagle
"Lucky was getting hit hard by Eagle"
```

Saga-saga

```
Be.quick~be.quick
"Very quick" ; "Very quickly" ; "To be very quick"
```

Yomai saga-saga

```
Fish be.quick~be.quick

"Very quick fish"; "The fish is very quick";

"Fish that is very quick"
```

Partial reduplication signalled a repeated action. This was achieved by repeating the first syllable only.

```
Pa-paya - Hit repeatedly
  (despite appearances, no fruit is involved)
```

The two types of reduplication could also be combined. Again, affixes did not repeat.

Be-pa-paya-paya

DYN.PAS-h~hit~hit
"Being hit hard repeatedly"

3.3. Particles

The class of particles covered all the logical, grammatical terms in the language. Defined formally, a particle was any word that could not act as a subject, predicate or attribute.

The main sub-classes of particle were: adverbial particles, prepositions, conjunctions, quantifiers, classifiers and interjections, though other kinds existed. A number of particles could move between sub-classes without alteration. Few morphological processes applied to the class.

3.3.1. Adverbial Particles

Context-setting expressions of time, place and so on are classed as adverbs in English. This includes words like "yesterday", "here" and "also". They were regarded as types of particle in Õtari.

Cani, "also" served as adverbial and conjunction, whilst words like wosa, "already", isĩ, "for a long time" and tabai, "soon" were amongst the equivalents of aspect markers.

E xale isĩ

3PS.EQ sail long.time
"He was sailing for a long time"

Because the language lacked verbal tense and aspect morphology, it had more time adverbials than English. For example, **saroye** meant "**the day after tomorrow**", and **elocu,** "**the day before yesterday**".

Elocu, do aunyo te gemai

Day.before.yesterday, 1PP.EQ.EXCL dig LOC garden "The day before yesterday, we dug in the garden"

3.3.2. Prepositions

A preposition expressed the relationship between a noun phrase and the remainder of the clause. The language had fewer, and more general, ones than we have in English. Here are some of the more important Õtari prepositions.

Prepositions		
Õtari	English	
Те	Locative: At ; On ; In	
Mi	Locative: In ; Inside	
Põi	Locative: On	
Ga	By ; With (Instrumental)	
Jai	With (Commitative)	
Jo ; Jetu	To (Dative & Directional)	
Kuã ; Ali	From	
Wa	About ; Re	
Yũ	For	

The number of prepositions was extended by the addition of location words.

Põi lasu agu

On top table "On top of the table "

There were two words each for "to" and "from". Jo meant "to" when the destination took te or põi. Jetu was used if it took mi. Similarly kuã was "from" when the starting point that took te or põi and ali was "from" when the starting point took mi. Yũ, "for", also served as a

conjunction as did some other prepositions.

Notice the absence of a word for "of". In Õtari, the genitive relationsip was signalled merely by juxtaposition of two noun phrases.

As discussed above under **Applicative Voices (3.2.15.2)**, all prepositions in Õtari could be incorporated into the verb to raise their referent to direct object.

3.3.3. Conjunctions

This sub-class of words overlapped somewhat with the sub-classes of prepositions and adverbial particles.

In English, conjunctions link phrases or clauses and adverbs link sentences. These are often different words even though they express the same basic relationship. This was not the case in Õtari.

Da and **cani** both meant "**and**" or "**also**" when used as a conjunctive adverb. Either could be used within a sentence, like our "**and**", or between sentences, like our "**also**".

Lai meant "but" or "yet" and "however" when used adverbially. Cai likewise, meant "or", "alternatively" and "on the other hand".

3.3.4. Classifiers

A classifier was required whenever a quantifier or number was used to modify a noun. It indicated chiefly that the head noun was to be viewed as countable rather than as a mass, but also that the quantifier or number was modifying the head and not acting as a pronoun in its own right. There were around forty classifiers in the language, though some were used only rarely.

Almost all classifiers also functioned as nouns. Like other nouns, classifiers were invariant. Some represented the whole of the head noun, others just a notable aspect of it. Here are some well-used ones to illustrate how the system worked. More are shown in the dictionary.

Classifiers			
Õtari	English	Classifiers	
Cepo ; Felĩ	Tail	Animals	
Koye	Head	Animals (without tails)	
Kua	Place	Places	
Mada	Staff ; Pole	Long, straight objects	
Nĩce	Stick	Short, straight objects	
Roiku	Slab	Massive objects	

Some nouns always took the same classifier, others could take one of two or three. If none were semantically appropriate, or if the speaker could not remember the correct one, then the general classifier *ja* was used. Exceptionally, *ja* was never used as a noun.

The use of classifiers is illustrated below.

Yomai bake cepo

Fish three tail.CLFR "Three fish"

Nîce mieji wei nîce

Stick short few stick.CLFR
"A few short sticks"

Kabua suo poci roikã

Boat all wagon.CLFR yon "All yonder boats"

3.3.5. Interjections

An interjection was a stand-alone term that expressed an emotional state of the speaker. It had no grammatical connection with the rest of the clause. Many were simple onomatopoeic exclamations and some were of uncertain origin.

 $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ - all-purpose mild interjection: aha!, hey!, etc.

Asu cai! - an expression of surprise of uncertain origin: whoa!, strewth!, etc.

In modern English the most taboo interjections concern sexual matters, though this has not always been the case. In eighteenth century English, religious themes predominated. This seems to have been the case in Õtari.

The Õtari swore by their nine main gods or by a wide range of local tutelary spirits. For more on Õtari religion, see the **Õtari Culture Page**.

3.3.6. Focus Particles

Õtari marked focus with a small sub-class of focus particles plus a limited amount of movement. This site uses the term focus to mean a special status given to certain words or phrases that is not present in all sentences.

Kõ – for emphasis.

Ai – for contrast and surprise.

No – for exhaustive listing (like English "only").

The focus particle came at the end of the phrase it referred to.

Kũ xale kama kõ

2PS.HON sail good FOC "You sail well"

Kubu fai ãi

Spear 1PS.EQ FOC

"My spear" (as opposed to yours)

Runyo no acu masũ jai fai

Runyo FOC go hunt with 1PS.EQ "Only Runyo went hunting with me"

The word **ce** had several uses. It could be a copula, it meant "**yes**", or it was used as the truth value focus particle. In this last usage, it confirmed the truth of the whole clause.

Sukūde masū ce

Lucky hunt yes
"Lucky did go hunting"

3.3.7. Polarity Particles

This small sub-class of particle was used to indicate the nature of a clause: question, command, exclamation or polite request.

Coi – Imperative particle

Ce kõ! - Exclamative particle

Me? - Polar question particle

Muãi? - Confirmation question particle

Specific interrogative words, other than interrogative pronouns are also included in this sub-class: **moli?**, "when?"; mokai?, "where?"; menai?, "how?"; and kou?, "why?"

Ce as we have noted meant "**yes**", but only when affirming the truth of what was asked. A contrastive "**yes**", **woi**, was also available. (French has a similar distinction between "**oui**" and "**si**").

There were two negative words: **obe** meaning "**zero**", "**no**" or "**none**" which negated noun phrases, and **sa** which meant "**not**" and negated verbs, predicates and clauses.

3.3.8. Etiquette

A number of particles were concerned exclusively with etiquette. Their effect was enhanced by choosing pronouns of the appropriate level of politeness.

Orī was used for both "**please**" and "**thank you**". Spanish usage of "**gracias**" can be similar. **Saira kū**, "**you're welcome**" (with honorific you) would be an appropriate response.

Kaisu similarly, meant both "hello" and "goodbye", though it was only used informally. (See Italian usage of "ciao"). The formal greeting was kurã jai kũ, "peace with you". Ã xiruti! was another greeting, but reserved for hailing from a distance. It combined the all-purpose mild interjection ã, "hey, aha!", with the verb xiruti, "to greet".

Finally, some terms of address were worthy of note: *lorī* was the main honorific, used for both men and women where we might say "*sir*" or "*madam*". Exalted persons were addressed as *oso*. This would be used from monarchs down to village headmen, priests and medicine women.

Nixai had a similar meaning to **lorī**, but was reserved for members of one's own clan. Within a **mofu** kinship terms were used as terms of address: **kamuai**, **"father"**, **"uncle"**; **lebai**, **"mother"**, **"aunt"**. Departed ancestors were both fellow-clansmen and exalted persons. They were therefore addressed in prayer as **nixai oso**.

Informal terms of address included **xori**, for men, **"mate"** (from a male speaker), or **"love"** (from a female speaker), **Iã** for women – **"babe"**, perhaps, or **"love"**, and **ecu** for children, **"lad"** or **"lass"**.

à xiruti, xori! - G'day mate!
Kaisu, lã! - Hi, babe!
Kurã jai kũ, lorî! - Good day to you, sir! ; Good day to you,
madam!

4. PHRASES

This page looks at the preferred order of words used in Õtari phrases. It starts with small units and builds upwards. Larger units are discussed respectively on the Õtari Clauses and Õtari Sentences pages.

Õtari had a strong tendency to put heads before their dependents. With few exceptions, phrases based around nouns, verbs and particles conform to this rule. Word order was largely fixed, as it was bound up with meaning. Pragmatic strategies therefore, tended to rely on particles and verbal affixes.

4.1. Noun Phrases

A noun phrase (NP) was a phrase headed by a noun. Quantifiers and pronouns counted as nouns, so Quantifier and Pronoun phrases counted as NPs. They are discussed below in sub-sections **4.1.3** and **4.1.4** respectively.

The simplest kind of noun phrase consisted of a bare noun. This could be a root noun or a compound.

NP -> N

Yomai - Fish ; **Oxukuari** - Village meeting hall

Ata - (3rd Person Plural, Equative) They ; Polai - Many

4.1.1. Co-ordination of Noun Phrases

Another kind of Noun Phrase consisted of NPs added together as equals. The two noun phrases could simply be juxtaposed. The second defined, or elaborated on, the first. The two were said to be *in apposition*.

NP -> NP, NP

Akule, ãce

Akule, queen "Akule, queen"

The small corpus of surviving Õtari literature contains no instances of three or more noun phrases in apposition. However, it would be consistent with everything we know about the language if this were allowed. Modern revivalist practice therefore allows any number of noun phrases to stand in apposition to each other.

Akule, ãce, gogã, gomisu

Akule queen, warrior, AGT.be.brave "Akule, queen, warrior, heroine"

It was more common though to join NPs with a co-ordinating conjunction. Any number of NPs could be linked in this way. The conjunction appeared before the last one.

Sere da kubu - Shields and spears
Sere, tabo da kubu - Shields, clubs and spears

4.1.2. Subordination of Noun Phrases

A noun phrase could also consist of a head NP followed by a subordinate one in genitive relation with the head. This relationship was also indicated by mere juxtaposition. Either of the juxtaposed NPs could themselves be built up from smaller units.

Kabua ule

Boat man

"The man's boat"

This construction could also indicate relationship to a place, whole-part relationships or the substance something was made from.

Ule Eitago

Man Heitak

"A/the man from Heitak" ; "A/the Roheitak male"

Nedő jĩ

Door house

"The door of the house"

Jĩ potai

Hut stone

"A/the stone hut"

The genitive construction also indicated kinship. If a pronoun was involved, it was marked for relative social status.

Kamuai tau

Father 1PS.PEJ

"My father"

Extra subordinate and co-ordinate NPs could be juxtaposed where appropriate. These could be related to each other or to the original NP. Context usually made clear what relations were involved.

$NP \rightarrow NP (NP (NP (NP)))$

Kabua wotai gabã fai

Boat sibling friend 1PS.EQ "My friend's brother's boat"

Kabua gabã wotai fai

Boat friend sibling 1PS.EQ "My brother's friend's boat"

$NP \rightarrow NP$, NP (NP), NP (NP)

Akule, ãce wu, gomisu wu

Akule queen 1PP.INCL.PEJ, AGT.be.brave 1PP.INCL.PEJ "Akule, our queen, our heroine"

4.1.3. Quantifier Phrases

A quantifier phrase (QP) consisted of a quantifier followed by a classifier. (Classifiers were introduced in **Section 3.3.4, Classifiers**).

$$QP \rightarrow (Q Clfr)$$

Wei mada

Few staff.CLFR

"A few" (When said of long, straight objects)

QPs were always subordinate to NPs. They followed their head like any other NP subordinate.

$$NP \rightarrow NP (QP)$$

Kubu wei mada

Spear few staff.CLFR
"A few spears"

Kubu bake mada

Spear three staff.CLFR
"Three spears"

Nouns could take any appropriate classifier. Sometimes the choice reflected the perspective of the speaker.

Xepe sinye yere

Rose four flower.CLFR
"Four roses" (considered as flowers)

Xepe sinye lõba

Rose four plant.CLFR
"Four roses" (considered as plants)

At other times, choice reflected a change in the noun. (The second example below adds full reduplication to indicate a change in extent).

Bũta duã kutã

Penis one rope.CLFR
"One penis" (flaccid)

Bũta-bũta duã mada

Penis-penis one staff.CLFR
"A massive erection"

Classifier choice could also distinguish between sub-classes of the basic noun.

Kabua duã poci

Boat one wagon.CLFR
"A boat"

Kabua duã bosã

Boat one mountain.CLFR
"A ship"

4.1.4. Pronoun Phrases

Pronouns replaced full NPs. Most constituted a type of NP all by themselves. This was true of personal and locative pronouns.

Kubu ãitu bake mada

Spear be.long three staff.CLFR "Three long spears"

... becomes simply:

Demonstrative pronouns could replace NPs or serve as subordinate NPs. Similarly English demonstratives served as both pronouns and adjectives.

Kubu kuokã

Spear DEM.DIST

"That spear"; "Those spears"

... becomes simply:

Kuokã - Those

These pronoun types all replaced something known, but indefinites and interrogatives introduced new items, so they needed a QP. Like a demonstrative, an interrogative could be head or subordinate NP. As a subordinate it took a QP if appropriate.

Salu duã koye

Person one head.CLFR

"Somebody"

Melu? - Who?

Salu wei koye melu?

Person few head.CLFR who? Which people?

Honorific personal pronouns were used for all superiors, including: royalty, clan chieftains, village headmen, medicine women, Earth-lore keepers, older relatives and departed ancestors, addressed in prayer.

Optionally, they could also refer to older non-relatives and strangers. First person honorifics were used when addressing inferiors or to give oneself a sense of superiority over an adversary.

Equative forms were used between equals, such as: peasants who knew each other well or practitioners of the same craft, whether acquainted or not. They were also used between husband and wife. They carried connotations of informality and solidarity.

Pejorative forms referred to social inferiors. These included younger relatives, all children and slaves. First person pejoratives were used when the addressee merited an honorific.

Kurã jai kũ, kamuai

Peace with 2PS.HON father "Peace with you, father"

Kurã jai guai, asũ wã

Peace with 2PS.PEJ child 1PS.HON "Peace with you, child"

honorifics and pejoratives could also be applied to non-humans. The application involved an assessment of their referent's value to humans, particularly to the speaker and listener.

Jī ojībe kama-kama

Hut STV.PSV.build be.good~be.good "A finely-built hut"

... becomes:

Nya - 3PS.HON

Fãtu uleme

Fruit rotten

"Rotten fruit"

... becomes:

Rai - 3PS.PEJ

Pronouns were often dropped, when context made their referent clear (see **Section 5**, **Clauses** below).

4.1.5. Combining Types of Noun Phrase

When a noun took several kinds of subordinate NPs, the unmarked order was:

NP -> NP (QP Dem/Intrg NP)

Asũ aja koye Sukūde

Child two head.CLFR Sukude "Lucky's two children"

This was used when counting members of a set. When a sub-set was being picked out from the main set, the QP moved, as it does in English.

NP -> NP (Dem/Intrg NP QP)

Kubu kuokã tai wei mada

Spear DEM.MED 2PP.EQ few staff.CLFR
"A few of those spears of yours"

4.1.6. Noun Phrases Modified by Verb Phrases

A noun phrase could also consist of a noun phrase followed by a subordinate verb phrase (VP). The internal structure of the VP is discussed below under **Section 4.2. Verb Phrases**. Here, we will just use the simplest kind, consisting of a single verb.

 $NP \rightarrow NP (VP)$

Yomai üte

Fish be.silver

"Silver Fish" (Stative)

Esã saja

Woman laugh

"Laughing woman" (Dynamic)

Personal pronouns could not take subordinate VPs, but demonstrative and indefinite pronouns could.

Kuokã moba

DEM.MED be.big

"That big thing"; "That big person"; "That big one"

Rã moba

Thing be.big

"Something big"; "A big thing"

Where an NP took both a subordinate VP and a subordinate NP, the VP came first. Where the subordinate NP was itself modified by the VP, their order was reversed.

NP -> NP (VP NP)

Cũbi petu esã

Headdress be.beautiful woman

"A/the woman's beautiful headdress"

$NP \rightarrow NP (NP (VP))$

Cũbi esã petu

Headdress woman be.beautiful

"A/the beautiful woman's headdress"

4.1.7. Noun Phrases Modified by Particle Phrases

A noun phrase could also consist of a noun phrase followed by a subordinate particle phrase (PartP). The internal structures of the various kinds of particle phrase are discussed further under Section 4.3, Particle Phrases below. Here, we will use one simple and common kind of PartP was the preposition phrase. This consisted of a PrepP plus an NP.

NP -> NP (PartP)

Ule jai kubu

Man with spear

"A/the man with a spear"

Where an NP took both a subordinate PartP and a subordinate VP, the VP came first. Where the PartP was itself modified by the VP, their order was reversed.

NP -> NP (VP PartP)

Ule seku jai kubu

Man be.tall with spear

"A/the tall man with a spear"

NP -> NP (PartP (VP))

Ule jai xãkua ãitu

Man with hair be.long

"A/the man with long hair"

Where an NP took both a subordinate PartP and a subordinate NP, the subordinate NP came first. Again, the order could be reversed where sense required.

NP -> NP (VP NP)

Wotai fai jai kubu

Brother 1PS.EQ with spear "My brother with the spear"

NP -> NP (VP NP)

Wotai jai kubu fai

Brother with spear 1PS.EQ
"The brother with my spear"

Where a noun phrase took all three kinds of subordinate phrase, the normal order was VP, NP, PartP. As above, the order could be reversed where sense required.

NP -> NP (VP NP PartP)

Wotai seku fai jai xãkua ãitu

Sister be.tall 1PS.EQ with hair be.long "My tall sister with the long hair"

4.1.8. Noun Phrases Modified by Clauses

As in English, a noun could be modified by an entire clause, a relative clause. This structure is discussed below in **Section 7**, **Multi-Clause Sentences**.

4.1.9. Modification of Co-ordinate Noun Phrases

A subordinate element following a list of co-ordinate noun phrases, was understood as referring to all the NPs.

NP -> NP Part NP (VP)

Ule da esã seku

Man and woman be.tall

"A/the tall man and a/the tall woman"

Where only one NP was included in the scope of the modifying phrase, it came first, followed immediately by its subordinate.

NP -> NP (VP) Part NP

Ule seku da esã

Man be.tall and woman
"A tall man and a woman"

Similarly, a list of subordinate elements were understood as referring to all the co-ordinate NPs.

NP -> NP Part NP (VP Part VP)

Ule da esã petu da mole

Man and woman be beautiful and be clever

"A/the clever, handsome man and a/the clever, beautiful woman"

Again, where only some of the subordinates applied to some of the NPs, they each followed their respective NPs. Any element that applied to both was then repeated.

NP -> NP (VP Prn) Part NP (VP Prn)

Ule mole kuokã da esã petu kuokã

Man clever DEM.MED and woman beautiful DEM.MED

"That clever man and that beautiful woman"

4.1.10. Noun Phrases Subordinate to Verb Phrases

Any of the kinds of noun phrase illustrated above could be subordinated within a verb phrase. For details, see below under **Verb Phrases Modified by Noun Phrases**, (**Section 4.2.3**).

4.1.11. Noun Phrases Subordinate to Particle Phrases

Any of the kinds of noun phrase illustrated above could be subordinated within a particle phrase. For details, see below under **Prepositional Phrases**, (4.3.2) and **Conjunction Phrases** (4.3.7).

4.2. Verb Phrases

A verb phrase (VP) was a phrase headed by a verb. The words English regards as adjectives and manner adverbs counted as verbs in Õtari, so phrases based on them counted as VPs.

The simplest kind of verb phrase consisted of a single intransitive verb.

Sẽgo – Run ; Ran ; Running ; To run (Dynamic)

Polu – Stand; Stood; Standing; To stand (Stative)

Euna – Be.happy ; Happy ; Happily (Stative)

4.2.1. Co-ordination of Verb Phrases

Another kind of Verb Phrase consisted of VPs added together as equals. Two or more verb phrases could simply be juxtaposed. The result was a serial verb construction (SVC). An SVC could only be used as main predicate item and even then certain conditions had to apply (see **Section 5.2.6, Serial Verb Constructions**). For now, here is a simple example.

VP -> VP VP VP

Xuãi ãcu momasũ

Want go DYN.INTR.hunt "Want to go hunting"

A more common way to co-ordinate verb phrases was to link them with a conjunction. Any number of VPs could be linked in this way. As with nouns, the conjunction appeared before the last element in the list (See **Section 4.1.1, Co-ordination of Noun Phrases**, above).

VP -> VP ... Part VP

Sego da folu - Run and walk

Sego, folu da xuãite - Run, walk and jump

Co-ordinate dynamic verbs were usually arranged sequentially or in causeeffect order.

Saga lai petu

Be.quick but be.neat "Quickly but neatly"

Stative verbs modifying nouns were in reverse order to English adjectives:

temporary state - purpose or evaluation - colour - shape - age - size - quality

Petu da mole

Be.beautiful and be.clever
"Clever and beautiful" (evaluation - quality)

Xenya, atau da ãitu

Be.new, be.sharp and be.long
"Long, sharp, new" (temp. state - temp. state - size)

4.2.2. Subordination of Verb Phrases

Another kind of verb phrase consisted of a VP followed by a subordinate VP which modified its head. Like co-ordination, subordination was was indicated merely by juxtaposition. Any of the VPs involved could themselves be built up from smaller units. Word order in an incorporated VP followed the rules above.

Kama cetume

Be.good surprise
"Surprisingly good"

Subordinate verb phrases could modify the previous VP or the head verb. Context usually made clear which was intended. Co-ordinated elements could also be included.

 $VP \rightarrow VP (VP (VP))$

Yesu waika nyaca

Shine yellow bright "Shine bright yellow"

VP -> VP (VP Part VP)

Saja ãitu da mogu

Laugh be.long and be.strong "Laugh long and heartily"

Telu saga lai petu

Write be.quick but be.neat "Write quickly but neatly"

4.2.3. Verb Phrases Modified by Noun Phrases

A verb phrase headed by a transitive verb took a subordinate noun phrase as its object. The object NPs were generally placed after the verb complex, including any verbal modifiers. The main verb and its dependent VPs, NPs and PPs constituted the predicate.

VP -> (VP (VP)) (NP)

Weku sacu nîce

Throw be.far stick
"Throw a/the stick far"

VP -> VP (VP (VP (NP)))

Xuãi ãcu masũ yomai

Want go hunt fish "Want to go fishing"

The object NP could be as long or complex as any of the types of NP illustrated above.

VP -> VP (NP (VP) (NP) (PartP (NP (VP)))

Teme wotai seku fai jai xãkua ãitu

See sister be.tall 1PS.EQ with hair be.long "See my tall sister with the long hair"

We can now see the basis for the claim on the Õtari page that Õtari is a **Type 3 language** in the **Milewski typology**. For Milewski, Type 3 languages are those that treat the direct object of a sentence in the same way as the nominal attribute of a noun. Õtari does this in that it places both directly after their referent and does not alter them morphologically. In this, it resembles Indonesian.

 $VP \rightarrow VP (NP)$

Teme Akule

See Honour"

 $NP \rightarrow NP (NP)$

Potã Akule

Jewel Honour "Honour's jewel" Occasionally, there would be two object NPs in a double object construction. (For details, see **Section 5.2.5 Double Object Constructions**, below and **Section 3.2.15.2 Applicative Voices**, above). Here, we will just note the phrase order.

VP -> VP (NP NP)

Põi- kuri agu yere

On- put table flower
"Put a/the flower on the table"

4.2.4. Verb Phrases Modified by Particle Phrases

Any of the above kinds of verb phrase could be followed by a particle phrase. The nature of particle phrases is discussed in the next section. Here, we will just look at some common types.

Verb phrases commonly included a subordinate particle phrase (PartP). These were optional elements, appearing after any of the required noun phrases.

VP -> VP (PartP (NP))

Ata xale opa lowa kiro

3PP.EQ sail through water be.rough "They sailed through rough water"

VP -> VP (NP) (PartP (NP))

E kuta koro te gemai

3PS.EQ plant seed LOC vegetable.garden "She planted seeds in the vegetable garden"

Independent PartPs were sometimes found in the same position. These were required third arguments of the verb.

VP -> VP (NP) PartP (NP)

E kuri yere põi agu

3PS.EQ put flower on table "She put a/the flower on the table"

Some English adverbs counted as PartPs and normally appeared in PartP position.

Do xale mogai

1PP.INCL.EQ sail tomorrow
"We sail tomorrow"

E tekuta gemai koro loreu

3PS.EQ LOC-plant vegetable.garden seed yesterday "She planted seeds in the garden yesterday"

Where adverbial and prepositional PartPs occur together, the PrepP phrase came first.

S → NP VP (PartP PartP)

Do xale jo Eitago saroye

1PP.INCL.EQ sail to Heitak day.after.tomorrow "We sail to Heitak the day after tomorrow"

E kuta koro te gemai loreu

3PS.EQ plant seed LOC garden yesterday "She planted seeds in the garden yesterday"

4.2.5. Verb Phrases Modified by Clauses

An entire clause could also be subordinated to a VP. Discussion of these are best left until after the clause has been introduced. See **Section 7.5.1, Object Complements**, below.

4.2.6. Modification of Co-ordinate Verb Phrases

The modification of co-ordinate verb phrases works in a similar way to the modification of co-ordinate noun phrases. A subordinate element followed a list of verbs and was understood as referring to them all.

Like NPs, VPs could be co-ordinated via an "... and ..." list. Unlike NPs, they could also form a serial verb construction (see **Section 4.2.1. Co-ordination of Verb Phrases**, above, and **Section 5.2.6**, **Serial Verb Constructions** 2.1. above). The subordinate element followed the co-ordinated ones.

VP -> VP VP (VP)

Sẽgo soju saga

Run hide be.quick
"Run and hide quickly" (SVC)

VP -> VP (PartP (NP) VP (VP)

Sẽgo jo deku da soju, saga

Run to village and hide be.quick
"Quickly, run to the village and hide" (List)

Where only one of the co-ordinate VPs was included in the scope of the modifying phrase, it came first, followed immediately by its subordinate. In this case though, an SVC could not be formed. A list was required.

VP -> VP (VP PartP VP)

Sego saga da soju

Run be.quick and hide
"Run away quickly and hide"

Similarly, a list of subordinate elements were understood as referring to all the co-ordinate VPs.

VP -> VP VP (NP VP)

Weku paya rai tãko da saga

Throw hit 3PS.PEJ be.hard and be.quick "Throw and hit it, hard and quickly"

Where only some subordinates applied to some VPs, each followed their referents. Any element that applied to both was repeated.

VP -> VP (VP) PartP VP (NP)

Weku saga da paya teko rai

Throw be.quick and hit hard 3PS.PEJ "Throw quickly and hit it hard"

4.2.7. Verb Phrases Subordinate to Noun Phrases

A subordinate verb phrase was normally the first element after a head noun phrase. It consisted of at least one verb.

 $NP \rightarrow NP (VP)$

Kubu xenya

Spear be.new
"A/the new spear"

A VP subordinate to a noun could also consist of a set of co-ordinate verbs linked by appropriate conjunctions. They were arranged in reverse order to the equivalent English adjectives, i.e.:

purpose or evaluation - colour - shape - age - size - quality

The last verb was preceded by **da**, "**and**". Other kinds of attributive could follow without taking **da**.

Kubu xenya da ãitu

Spear be.new and be.long
"A/the long, new spear" (N age size)

Kuei petu da mole

Girl be.beautiful and be.clever
"A/the clever and beautiful girl" (N evaluation quality)

Kubu xenya fai

Spear be.new 1PS.EQ
"My new spear" (da not required as fai not a verb)

Occasionally, the last verb was preceded by *lai*, "but". In these cases, sense dictated word order, not the rule above.

Telu saga lai petu

Write be.quick but be.neat "Write quickly but neatly"

Subsequent verbs could modify the previous verb instead of the head noun. The absence of a conjunction indicated that this construction was being used. Verbs modifying other verbs formed a VP within an VP. Word order in this second VP followed the rule above.

Bidu bekua waika

Leaf green yellow
"A/the yellowy green leaf"

As noted, full reduplication of a verb indicated intensity (**Section 3.2.19**, **Reduplication of the Verb**).

Yomai saga-saga

Fish be.quick-be.quick
"A very quick fish"

The serial verb construction (introduced above in **Section 4.2.1**), was not available as a subordinate element. It could only be used as a main predicate item. For full details, see **Section 5.2.6 Serial Verb Constructions**, below.

4.2.8. Verb Phrases Subordinate to Particle Phrases

A verb phrase, incorporating any of the elements discussed earlier in this section (4.2), could be subordinated within a particle phrase. The phrase would be headed by a word corresponding to one of English's prepositions or conjunctions, or certain adverbs. The head particle was the first element in the phrase. It would be followed by at least one verb.

PartP -> Part (VP)

Jai xãkua ãitu

With hair be.long
"With long hair"

Ata xueiko te agu da cenye xoli moba

3PP.EQ REFL-sit LOC table and eat meal be.big
"They sat down at a/the table and ate a big meal" (**da** + VP)

4.2.9. Comparison of Verb Phrases

English forms comparatives and superlatives with the affixes "-er" and "-est", or adverbs like "more" and "most". Õtari, however, used the verbs: ma, "exceed", yeci, "disexceed" and ãsu, "equal, resemble".

Yere xukã ma kuokã wa petu

Flower DEM.PROX exceed DEM.MED concerning beautiful "This flower is more beautiful than that one"

Yere xukã yeci suo wa petu

Flower DEM.PROX disexceed all concerning beautiful "This flower is the least attractive of all"

In the second example, **suo** functions as a pronoun not a quantifier, so does not need a classifier.

4.3. Particle Phrases

As we have seen, Ötari classes a wide range of words as particles. Some sub-classes of particle were capable of acting as phrase heads, others were not.

4.3.1. Adverbial Particle Phrases

Adverbial particles corresponded to English adverbs of time, direction and location. One or more adverbial particles constituted an Adverbial Phrase (AdvP), a type of PartP. An AdvP could have been part of a VP, but not part of an NP. It could not stand as a main predicate item. It was not a required sentence element.

Some adverbial particles modified the predicate. They normally followed the main verb and any NP or VP that modified it.

Prn VP -> Prn (V Prn (AdvP))

Be gã-gã xiã wosa 1PP.INCL.HON fight-fight 3PP.PEJ already "We fought them hard already"

Predicate-modifying AdvPs could also come at the start of the clause. This shifted the emphasis to the verb, or its object NPP.

Mogai, do kuari xuã

Tomorrow, 1PP.INCL.EQ meet here

"Tomorrow, we will meet here"

Wosa, xiã ãcu jo deku

Already 3PP.PEJ go to village
"They have already gone to the village"

Notice also how these AdvPs did the work of tense, mood and aspect markers in other languages.

Other AdvPs modified the clause. These could come at the start or end of the clause.

S (AdvP)

Xiã nile kuã deku wosa, eluxe

3PP.PEJ leave from village already, however "They have already left the village, however"

In English, some AdvPs give the speaker's opinion on the proposition expressed by the clause: "*Unfortunately ..."*, etc. In these were handled by relative clauses (See *Section 7.3, Relative Clauses*, below).

In their clause-linking role, the sub-class of adverbial particles overlapped with the sub-class of conjunctions (see **Section 4.3.7, Conjunction Phrases**, below).

4.3.2. Prepositional Phrases

A prepositional phrase consisted of a head preposition followed by a noun phrase. The preposition could be simple or complex. A complex preposition was one extended by other prepositions or by locative and directional terms. This device made up for the lack of basic prepositions. The noun phrase was constructed as in **Section 4.1 Noun Phrases**, above.

PrepP -> (Prep NP) NP

Põi lasu agu

On top table
"On top of a/the table "

A PrepP could be the attribute of a noun or of a verb. Within an NP, it was always optional. Within the verb phrase, it could be optional or required. See **Sections 4.1.7 Noun Phrases Modified by Particle Phrases** and

4.2.4 Verb Phrases Modified by Particle Phrases, both above.

A PrepP could even act as a main predicate item. Note the absence of a linking verb.

S -> NP PrepP

Yere põi lasu agu

Flower on top table "The flower is on top of the table "

As in the languages of our world, the range of a preposition did not always correspond to its dictionary definition. Some set phrases just had to be learnt by heart.

Pői lowa kiro

On water rough "In rough water"; "Through rough water"

PrepP -> (Prep Prep) NP

Opa mi bokai

Across inside forest
"Through a/the forest"

4.3.3. Focus Phrases

In *Describing Morphosyntax*, Thomas Paine describes focus as a pragmatic status, not present in all sentences. This was certainly true of focus in Õtari. The Õtari marked focus with one of three particles (introduced in *Section 3.3.6 Focus Particles*, above)

Focus particles could take over as heads of any kind of phrase. They drew attention to the phrase in certain situations. English has two ways to do this. One is the cleft-construction, which moves an item to the front of the sentence. Alternatively, English keeps an item in situ, but adds intonation in speech or italics or underlining in writing.

It was Runyo that I saw

I saw Runyo

Õtari kept the focussed phrase in situ, but placed a focus particle at its end. This was one of the few situations when the language put a phrase head at the end rather than the start of the phrase.

Prn V FocP V NP

Fai teme Runyo kõ

1PS.EQ see Runyo FOC

"It was Runyo that I saw" ; "I saw Runyo"

When a phrase is nested within a phrase (and perhaps within another), it can be difficult finding the correct spot to place the focus particle. This proves problematic for a number of modern revivalists trying to learn the language.

NP → N FocP Prn

Kubu xenya kõ fai

Spear be.new FOC 1PS.EQ
"My new spear"

To emphasise the spear's newness, the focus particle follows the attributive VP **xenya**. To place it after **fai** would be to emphasise **my** ownership of the spear.

Where three entities were mentioned in the same sentence, Õtari offered the option of raising a prepositional argument to applied object, thus putting the natural object into focus by placing it at then end of the sentence (See **Sections 3.2.15 Preposition & Adverb Incorporation**, above and **5.2.5 Double Object Constructions**, below). Both constructions could be used together:

Sukūde binifolu iwā, cani Akule ãi!

Sukude by.walk river, with Akule FOC
"Sukude was walking by the river with Akule, of all people!"

Here the speaker is implying that the presumed liaison is somehow scandalous. The focus particle has changed because $k\tilde{o}$ marks emphasis, but $\tilde{a}i$ marks contrast or surprise.

4.3.4. Particle Phrases Discussed Elsewhere

Classifers did not form phrases of their own. They were always part of number phrases (See **Section 3.3.4 Classifiers**, above).

Interjections, by definition, stood apart from the rest of their sentence. They were only ever related to other interjections. (See **Section 3.3.5**, **Interjections**, above)

Etiquette particles and polarity particles were clause-level operators. They are therefore discussed elsewhere (See, Sections *3.3.8 Etiquette Particles* and *3.3.7 Polarity Particles*, above and *Section 5* below, from *5.4 Negation* onwards).

4.3.5. Co-ordination of Particle Phrases

As with other sentence elements, two PartPs could simply be listed or linked with a conjunction. Any number of PartPs could be linked in this way. The conjunction appeared before the last element in the list. It could be either *da* or *cani*. Both translated English "*and*" or "*also*".

When listing items, a single conjunction was the norm, placed before the last item on the list. Occasionally a conjunction was omitted.

PartP -> PartP PartP

Jo Sukūde, jo Runyo DAT Sukūde DAT Runyo "To Sukūde, to Runyo"

PartP -> (PartP) Part (PartP)

Jo Sukūde da jo Runyo

DAT Sukūde and DAT Runyo

"To Sukūde and to Runyo"

4.3.6. Particle Phrases Modified by Particle Phrases

As with other subordinate constructions, two particle phrases were simply placed next to each to indicate that the second one modified the first.

NP -> NP (NP (PartP (PartP)))

Wotai fai jai xãkua jai mixu Sister 1PS.EQ with hair with plaits "My sister with the plaited hair"

4.3.7. Conjunction Phrases

These were introduced above in **Section 3.3.3 Conjunctions**, where it was note that English, conjunctions link phrases or clauses, whilst adverbs with the same basic meaning link sentences. This was not the case in Õtari. The conjunction patterns for NPs, VPs and PartPs have already been noted above (**Sections 4.1.1**, **4.2.1** and **4.3.5**, respectively).

Õtari followed English in using the same words and structures regardless of what kind of items are being conjoined.

Sere da kubu - Shields and spears (NP Conj NP)

Cenye da yele - Eating and drinking (VP Conj VP)

Jo Sukūde da jo Runyo - To Sukūde and to Runyo

(PartP Conj PartP)

The commonest conjunctions were **da** and **cani**. Both translated English "**and**" or "**also**". Like all conjunctions, they normally appeared between their referents.

When listing items however, a single conjunction was the norm. **Da** could be repeated in lists, but **cani** could not.

Sere, tabo da kubu - Shields, clubs and spears
Sere da tabo da kubu - Shields and clubs and spears
*Sere cani tabo cani kubu - Shields and clubs and spears

The extra syllable in *cani* made it the more emphatic alternative. So in listing, *cani* had the sense of "*and whatsmore*", "*and even*" or "*and also*". When nesting conjunctions, cani was the higher level operator.

VP -> VP VP Part VP

Cenye, yele cani mabai - Eating, drinking and even dancing

VP -> (VP Part VP) Part (VP Part VP)

Folu da gã, cani cenye da paru -

Walking and fighting plus eating and sleeping

The pattern extended to the conjunction of subjects and predicates.

S -> (NP Conj NP) V PrepP

Sukude da Akule folu bini iwa

Sukude and Akule walk by river "Sukude and Akule were walking by a/the river"

S -> NP (VP Conj VP)

Sukūde folu bini iwā cani masū yomai

Sukude walk by river and hunt fish "Sukude walked by the river and did some fishing"

Whole clauses were joined in much the same manner and could be considered to be ConjPs when linked in a single sentence. Unlike in English, the structure was the same when referring back to a previous sentence.

S -> (NP V Part P. NP Conj V NP)

Sukude folu bini iwa. Akule da binifolu iwa

Sukude walk by river. Akule and by.walk river
"Sukude was walking by the river. Akule went walking
by the river, too"

Here, **bini** iwã is new information, so it stays in focus position. By the second clause, location is established, so iwã is raised to applied object by preposition incorporation, putting the focus on da. Note also how da is the second word in the second clause, like its equivalents in Yoruba and other languages of our world.

Again, juxtaposition was often enough to imply conjunction (a common device in Vietnamese). However, this is rare in the Õtari corpus, perhaps because juxtaposition was already used marked possession.

Like English, Õtari had a specialist conjunction for marking a contrast or exception. English uses both "but" and "yet" for this, but Õtari just used lai. Lai also translated the English adverb "however". Like da in the example above, lai is the second word of the second clause.

SS

Sukūde kuoti cõbe. Runyo lai jībe jī

Sukude cut wood. Runyo, however, build hut Sukude cut wood, but Runyo built a hut

Other conjunctions used as conjunctive adverbs were also placed second in the second clause.

Negation of \emph{da} or \emph{cani} was accomplished in the English manner by simply following them with $\emph{s\~a}$, " \emph{not} ". Modern revivalists believe that the negation applies to all following elements not just the next one. This reading is consistent with other usages, but we cannot be sure.

Nya gomasũ õtau da mogu da sã nyamo

3PS.HON hunter great and not be afraid and be strong "He is a great hunter, strong and unafraid"

If the above read ... da sã mogu ... the negation would be taken to apply to both mogu and nyamo not mogu alone. This would render the sentence more equivocal, and bring into question the use of a honorific pronoun.

5. CLAUSES

Õtari had a strong tendency to put heads before dependents in clauses, as it had in phrases. Word order was still fairly fixed at the clause level because it was bound up with meaning. Pragmatic strategies as noted, tended to rely on particles and changing the voice of the verb.

An Õtari clause normally consisted of a subject followed by a predicate. The subject consisted of a noun phrase, as described in **Section 4.1 Noun Phrases**, above. The predicate took one of several forms.

5.1. Clauses With Nominal Predicates

Perhaps the simplest declarative clauses were those that linked a noun subject with a noun predicate. In English, these clauses take the copular verb "to be". Õtari lacked a verb "to be". The two NPs were sometimes only separated by the pause and intonation change: /, that comes between subject and predicate, as noted above in **Section 2.8 Prosody**.

5.1.1. Possessive Clauses

Õtari also lacked the verbs "**to have**" and "**to belong**". Possessive sentences all involved the dative preposition **jo**. The difference between English "**have**" and "**belong**" sentences was expressed by word order.

Jo fai kabua = Jo fai / kabua

DAT 1PS.EQ boat

"I have a boat"

Kabua jo fai = Kabua / jo fai

Boat DAT 1PS.EQ

"The boat belongs to me"

5.1.2. Locative Constructions

Locative constructions were also built around a preposition. Normally, this would be the all-purpose locative *te*, but for a literal case of "*being inside something*", *mi* was preferred (see *Section 3.3.2 Prepositions*, above).

Ciro te gemai = Ciro / te gemai

Cat LOC vegetable patch

"The cat is in the vegetable patch"

5.1.3. Existential Constructions

The Õtari existential construction was simply a reversal of the locative construction. This parallels the way the two kind of possessive sentences worked in **5.1.1**. As we shall see below, other Õtari presentative devices worked in the same way.

Te gemai ciro = Te gemai / ciro

LOC vegetable.patch cat
"There is a cat in the vegetable garden";
"A cat is in the vegetable garden"

Mere existence was indicated with the verb *te*, "*to exist*". This carried no reference to location, though its similarity to the locative preposition *te* strongly suggests that there was some kind of historical link between the two words.

Tẽ ciro

Exist cat

"There was a cat";

"There are such things as cats"

5.1.4. Statements of Identity

A statement of identity asserts that "X is Y" where X and Y are both noun phrases. Statements of identity in Õtari took ce as their copula. Ce was an equative particle, a kind of spoken equals sign. It was not a verb, as it took no verbal affixes and could not function as a noun modifier. As we shall see later, it also served as the word for "yes".

Nya ce kamuai tau

3PS.HON = father 1PS.PEJ
"He is my father"

5.1.5. Proper Inclusion

A statement of proper inclusion asserts that "X is a member of class Y". Again, X and Y must both be noun phrases. In Õtari, statements of proper inclusion took the same form as statements of identity. Context was relied on to differentiate between the two.

Sukūde ce gomasū kama

Sukũde = AGT.hunt good "Sukũde is a good hunter"

5.1.6. Equative Clauses With Copular Verbs

Any of the above sentence patterns could be repeated using a verb of perception as a copula.

Te gemai oteme ciro

LOC vegetable.patch STV.PAS-see cat

"It seems there is a cat in the vegetable garden"

Parallel "**becoming**" clauses could also be constructed using an appropriate verb plus the dynamic passive affix **be-**.

Potã benaka tabai moja Seku

Jewel DYN.PAS-make soon wife High "Jewel will soon become High's wife"

Parallel "ceasing" clauses could also be constructed using an appropriate verb plus kaya, "to finish" or ijū, "to cease without completing".

Potã da Seku ijũ jaita tabai

Jewel and High cease be.alone soon

"Soon, Jewel and High will no longer be alone"

Ijũ is preferred to **kaya** here because it refers to **jaita** which is a stative verb, and you cannot complete a state, you can only leave it.

5.2. Clauses With Verbal Predicates

In **Section 3.2 Verbs**, we noted that Õtari verbs each had a home voice based on the most typical situation. We also noted that they took prefixes to mark voice and incorporated prepositions to produce applicatives.

Cenye – To eat (something) (active transitive) **Mocenye** – To eat (active intransitive)

Each voice or applicative form had to be accompanied by the required number of arguments. This is in contrast to English where some verbs are ambitransitive and can vary their number of arguments without special marking.

Your dog is eating something Your dog is eating

As we shall see though in **Section 6.1, Topicality**, one of the arguments of the Õtari verb could be null (omitted, but understood from previous clauses). It could not, however, be deleted (not present at all).

Kũdu kũ cenye rã duã ja

Dog 2PS.HON eat thing one GENERAL.CLFR
"Your dog is eating something"

Kũdu kũ cenye Ø

Dog 2PS.HON eat Ø

"Your dog is eating" (something previously mentioned)

*Kũdu kũ cenye

*Dog 2PS.HON eat

* "Your dog is eating"

Kũdu kũ mocenye Dog 2PS.HON DYN.INTR-eat "Your dog is eating"

5.2.1. Atransitive Clauses

Verbs in the eventive voice were presented without a subject. In reality of course, the acts or states they described all had causes or agents, but they were unknown or considered unimportant. The eventive verb therefore often appeared alone.

Asue te bosã

Rain LOC mountain

"Rain fell in the mountains";

"It was raining (up) in the mountains"

Pamabai te deku

EVT-dance LOC village
"There was dancing in the village";
"Dancing took place in the village"

It was also possible to place the locative NP first to introduce and contextualise the verb.

Te bosã asue

LOC mountain rain
"In the mountains, rain fell"

5.2.2. Intransitive Clauses

Intransitive clauses normally consisted of a subject noun phrase and a predicate verb phrase, in that order. The verb could be dynamic or stative and was in one of the intransitive voices (dynamic intransitive, dynamic passive, reflexive, reciprocal, stative and stative passive).

Here are a few simple examples. Notice how the stative verb in the second example formed a normal intransitive clause in Õtari. whereas in English we use a copula and adjective to say the same thing.

S > NP VP

Ule sego

Man run

"The man is running"

Esã euna

Woman happy "The woman is happy"

Alua otobi

Bird STV.PAS-catch
"The bird was caught"

Both the noun phrase and the verb phrase could be more complex as outlined above in Sections 1 and 2 respectively of the Õtari Phrases page.

Sukude da Runyo doteme

Sukude and Runyo RECP-see "Sukude and Runyo saw each other"

Asũ Runyo xale mogai

Child Runyo sail tomorrow "Runyo's son will sail tomorrow"

Iwã õtau suye saga

River be.great flow be.fast
"The great river flows quickly"

In clauses like the last example, it was not always clear which verbs modified the subject and which were predicates. As \tilde{O} tari was overwhelmingly a spoken language, intonation patterns were often enough to mark the subject-predicate boundary. (3=high pitch, 2 = medium, 1 = low / =pause)

Iwã õtau suye saga 2232/32 21

In other cases, a following demonstrative or quantifier phrase served to boundary-mark the subject NP.

Iwã õtau xukã suye saga River be.great PROX.DEM flow be.fast "This great river flows quickly"

There was also the option to insert a pronoun immediately after the subject NP. Note here the use of an honorific pronoun. A river was important to neighbouring people and its spirit had to be shown due reverence.

Iwã õtau, nya suye saga River be.great, 3PS.HON flow be.fast "The great river, it flows quickly"

Where the NP did not include a subordinate verb, a pronoun was sometimes inserted anyway to establish attitude or social status.

Sukūde, rai gātu Sukūde, 3PS.PEJ stupid "That Sukūde, he's stupid"

In writing, this device had potential for confusion with the genitive construction. In speech though the two pronouns fell on different sides of the subject-predicate pause and had different intonations. (/ = pause ; 1 = low tone, 3 = high).

Wotai, / rai moxu-moxu
3 2 / 3 2 1 2 1
Brother, 3PS.PEJ be.lazy~lazy
"That brother (of mine), he's so lazy"

Wotai rai / moxu-moxu 3 2 2 / 3 2 2 1 Brother 3PS.PEJ be.lazy~lazy "His brother is lazy"

Like the nominal predicates (discussed in **Section 5.1** above), an intransitive verbal predicate could preced its subject NP. This construction served a presentative function. It introduced a new, indefinite noun phrase.

S > VP NP

Sego ule

Run man

"A man is running"; "There is a man running"

Xume saga mi iwã, yomai bake cepo

Swim be.guick in river, fish three tail.CLFR

ũte da moba-moba

be.silver and be.big~big

"Three very big silver fish are swimming quickly in the river"

Definite NPs, those referring to entities already "on stage" did not trigger reversal. Nor did NPs whose reference was obvious from context, like the great river above. There would only be one great river in a district.

Classes-of-one such as personal names, or nouns like *ixe*, "*the sky*" were seen as inherently definite. The referents of first and second person pronouns were also obvious, hence definite. None of these triggered intransitive inversion.

Ixe bekua

Sky be.blue/green
"The sky is blue"

*Bekua ixe

Generic nouns (those referring to a whole class of entities) were also held to be inherently definite. They too did not require inversion.

Bidu bekua

Leaf be.blue/green "Leaves are green"

?Bekua bidu

Bekua bidu is disallowed where **bidu** has generic meaning, but not when it refers to a specific leaf or leaves.

Naturally, a verb that was used to modify an indefinite noun did not take part in an inversion, it stayed by its referent.

Saja esã euna

Laugh woman be.happy
"A happy woman laughed"

Equally, a verb that modified another verb followed its referent when inversion took place.

Xume saga yomai

Swim be.fast fish "A fish swims fast"

With a serial verb (**Section 4.2.1** above and **5.2.6** below), only the first verb inverted. This first verb had to be intransitive, the others could have any transitivity.

Sawa salu polai koye moteme

Come people many head.CLFR DYN.INTR.see "Many came to see"

As modern linguists have discovered, performing an inversion and seeing what moves and what does not, is a good way to test what is going on in ambiguous Õtari texts.

So far we have just looked at verbs in the active voices. Verbs in any of the other voices could also precede their subjects where appropriate.

5.2.3. Transitive Clauses

Transitive clauses consisted of a subject noun phrase, a verb phrase and an object noun phrase, always in that order. The verb was dynamic and was usually in the active transitive voice, though some were in a causative voice.

S > NP VP NP

Fai teme si

1PS.EQ see 2PS.EQ
"I see you"

Ule tobi alua

Man catch bird
"The man caught a/the bird"

Notice in the last example that the subject is given as definite, but it is not certain whether the object is definite or indefinite. The subject of an Õtari transitive verb had to be definite (i.e. introduced previously). Along with the reversal of intransitive clauses with new subjects, this shows that Õtari was sensitive to definiteness in some contexts, even though it did not formally mark definite and indefinite.

In English, there is no definite subject restriction on transitives, but 90% have definite subjects anyway because entities are brought onto stage with intransitives before they start interacting with other entities. The remaining 10% of cases call for creative translation if they are to be turned into good Õtari. Take the English clause:

Two men love that woman

Here the subject is indefinite, so translation will require two clauses. We might, for example, try an existential clause plus a transitive:

Tẽ ule aja koye.

Exist man two head.CLFR.

Ata suo koye moji esã ãsu

3PP.EQ all head.CLFR love woman be.same

"There were (these) two men. Both loved the same woman"

Or if recounting a tale:

Nyomã po duã, ala ule aja koye.

Time rank one, live man two head.CLFR.

Ata suo koye moji esã ãsu

3PP.EQ all head.CLFR love woman be.same

"Once there lived two men. Both loved the same woman"

A single stative passive clause would suffice provided we had already introduced the woman into the tale. The men then become an oblique argument and indefinite obliques were allowed:

Esã omoji ga ule aja koye

Woman STV.PAS-love INS man two head.CLFR

"The woman was loved by two men"

5.2.4. Indirect Object Clauses

The least marked kind of ditransitive clauses consisted of a subject NP, a verb phrase, a direct object NP and an indirect object PrepP, always in that order. The verb was dynamic and was in the active ditransitive voice.

The subject of a ditransitive verb, like the subject of a transitive verb, had to be definite. The verb had to be in the active ditransitive voice. A few verbs had this as their home voice, such as **olu**, **"to give"**.

Ule olu yere aumī jo esã

Man give flower red DAT woman
"The man gives a/the woman a/the red flower"

5.2.5. Double Object Constructions

Õtari had two kinds of double object constructions. Both have been introduced in Sections 3.2.4 Active Ditransitive Voice and 3.2.9 Causative Voices.

The causative prefix **kãi-/kãy-** turned a transitive root into a ditransitive. The controller of the event became the new subject and had to be definite. The immediate agent became an new direct object, equal in status to the original object (neither was indirect). However, this new, demoted object always preceded the original one.

BEFORE ...

Asũ motu cenye sĩtaji rai

Child 3PP.HON eat vegetable 3PS.PEJ
"Their child ate his vegetables"

AFTER ...

Motu kãicenye asữ motu sĩtaji rai 3PP.HON CAUS-eat child 3PP.HON vegetable 3PS.PEJ "They made their child eat his vegetables"

In the second type, an indirect object was raised to applied object of equal status with the direct object. This was done by incorporating the dative preposition jo into the verb. The applied object always came first.

BFORE ...

Ule olu yere aumī jo esã

Man give flower red DAT woman
"The man gives a/the woman a/the red flower"

AFTER ...

Ule jolu esã yere aumī

Man DAT-give woman flower red

"The man gives a/the woman a/the red flower"

This construction was used when the ultimate recipient of an action was more topical than whatever was directly acted on.

5.2.6. Serial Verb Constructions

The framework used here to describe Serial Verb Constructions is that used by Alexandra Aikhenvald in her 2006 essay: "Serial verb constructions in typological perspective". Aikhenvald's definition of the SVC is broad, simple and powerful.

Unlike some writers, she does not distinguish SVCs from compound verbs. This approach suits the description of Õtari where two separate categories would have no meaning. Any Õtari verb can be a main predicate item and all lack the tense, aspect and mood (TAM) inflection that might distinguish a main verb from a subordinate. Aikhenvald writes:

"A serial verb construction (SVC) is a sequence of verbs which act together as a single predicate, without any overt marker of coordination, subordination or syntactic dependency of any other sort. Serial verb constructions describe what is conceptualized as a single event.

They are monoclausal; their intonation properties are the same as those of a monoverbal clause, and they have just one tense, aspect, and polarity value. SVCs may also share core and other arguments. Each component of an SVC must be able to occur on its own. Within an SVC, the individual verbs may have the same, or different, transitivity values".

Õtari used a wide range of serial verb constructions, though not all possible types. Unlike many languages in our world, Õtari had little need for SVCs to add NPs to the clause. As we have seen, it did that with prepositions, verbal voice and applicative marking (see *3.2 Verbs*, above).

However, the lack of TAM affixes meant that TAM concepts had to be expressed by SVCs. English often uses auxiliary-main verb combinations in such cases. The auxiliaries are the heads of the English construction and carry the grammatical information for both verbs. As noted, this could not happen in Õtari.

Ule suo koye kaya mocenye xolî

Man all head.CLFR finish DYN.INTR-eat now "All the men have finished eating now"

The language also failed to distinguish between finite and infinitive verbs. So its equivalents of English finite-infinitive constructions turned out as SVCs.

Atei xuãi moteme

Child want DYN.INTR-see
"The children want to see"

SVCs were often required where English has a single verb. For example Õtari had no verb *"to bring"*.

Ule yetau uci dură kău rofu sawa jo xolieuna
Man clan 1PP.EQ.EXCL take meat goat come DAT meal.happy
"The men of our clan bring goat-meat to the feast"

The verb order in SVCs was iconic, sequential or causal. These often amounted to the same thing.

Ule masũ oyo folu jetu jĩ

Man hunt food walk DAT hut
"The man caught some food and walked home"

Most SVCs contained only two verbs. Three-verb SVCs were also possible, though they were rare.

Atei xuãi sawa moteme

Child want come DYN.INTR.see
"The children want to come and see"

As noted in above (**5.2.2 Intransitive Clauses**), when the subject was indefinite, and the first verb of the SVC was intransitive, then the subject and the first verb changed places. I am not aware of this happening in any languages of our world.

Sawa salu polai koye moteme

Come people many head.CLFR DYN.INTR.see
"Many came to see"

The verbs in an Õtari SVC had to share a subject. If they were all transitive, they had to share an object. The joint object came between the two verbs, as it does in Yoruba.

Do kuta koro kãyemau

1PS.EQ.INCL plant seed CAUS-grow
"We plant seeds and make them grow"

Where only the first verb was transitive, the object appeared after it, as above. Where only a later verb was transitive, the object followed that verb instead.

Atei xuãi sawa teme kũ

Child want come see 2PS.HON
"The children want to come and see you"

Where two verbs had different objects, each object appeared after its own verb, as in the earlier example:

Ule yetau uci dură kău rofu sawa jo xolieuna
Man clan 1PP.EQ.EXCL take meat goat come DAT meal.happy
"The men of our clan bring goat-meat to the feast"

If the verbs had different subjects, an SVC was not possible. You would either use two co-ordinate clauses or an indirect causative clause with object complement (see: **Sections 3.2.9 Causative Voices**, and **7.5.1 Object Complement Clauses**).

*Guai ekatu nya malai

2PS.PEJ cause 3PS.HON fall "You caused him to fall"

Guai ekatu jẽ nya malai

2PS.PEJ cause COMPL 3PS.HON fall "You caused him to fall"

A common English sentence pattern is:

S-V-O Complement Phrase

They painted the boat black

Such sentences translate into Õtari as SVCs.

Ata vexu kabua kãimoloru

3PP.EQ paint boat CAUS.DYN-INTR.black
"They painted the boat black"

5.3. Placement of Non-Core Material

The constructions above are all ways of handling core clausal material (the verb and its required arguments). Optional, non-core elements came before or after all the core material.

Location and direction in time and space were generally placed at the end of the clause, when they were non-core material.

Do peita xale mogai

1PP.INCL.EQ start sail tomorrow "We set sail tomorrow"

Non-core material could also appear at the start of the clause in a presentative construction, presenting the whole of the core. In this example, the core clause is a place-locative (as above in **5.1.6 Equative Clauses with Copular Verbs**) and the non-core phrase is a time-locative.

Saroye, do te Tayoku

Day.after.tomorrow, 1PP.INCL.EQ LOC Tayoku "The day after tomorrow, we will be in Tayoku"

Likewise, non-core locative and directional material usually came after the whole of an SVC, though it could occasionally precede, sometimes even dragging a verb with it. This is very uncanonical SVC behaviour, but is occasionally found in late written Õtari. The final example with a focus particle would be the more usual approach.

Ucīsẽgo ãcu siepãjetu jĩ1PP.EQ.EXCL rungobe.straight DAT hut

"We are running straight home";

"We are running straight into (our) hut"

OR ...

Siepā jetu jĩ, ucĩ sẽgo ãcu

Be.straight DAT hut, 1PP.EQ.EXCL run go "Straight into our hut is where we ran"

OR ...

Ucī sẽgo ãcu siepã jetu jĩ kõ

1PP.EQ.EXCL run go be.straight DAT hut FOC "We are running straight home"

Instruments also came at the end of the core clause. They could precede or follow non-core locative and directional material. Both orders were common. Whichever NP the speaker most wished to put into focus came last, as in English.

Ata ãcu ga saiku jo Tayoku 3PP.EQ go INS foot DAT Tayoku "They travelled on foot to Tayoku"

Ata ãcu jo Tayoku ga saiku 3PP.EQ go DAT Tayoku INS foot "They travelled to Tayoku on foot"

A focus particle could be added to the last NP for greater emphasis. There was also the option to move the instrument to the front if it was more topical than the core clause.

Ga saiku, ata ãcu jo TayokuINS foot 3PP.EQ go DAT Tayoku
"On foot, they travelled to Tayoku"

In the passive voices, the agent of the verb could be omitted or introduced by the instrumental preposition **ga**. If included, it normally followed the core, though again there was the option to front it.

Si oteme ga Runyo 2PS.EQ STV.PSV.see INS Runyo "You were seen by Runyo"

Ga ule, yere aumī otolu jo esã

INS man flower red DYN.PAS.give DAT woman

"By a/the man, the red flower is being given to a/the woman"

As noted in **Section 3.2.15.2 Applicative Voices**, non-core NPs can be raised to applied object status by incorporating their preposition into the verb. Once this is done though, their position becomes as fixed as that of other core NPs.

5.4. Negation

Ötari had two negative words, one for use with noun phrases and one for use with verb phrases. There were also special negative pronouns.

NPs were negated with **obe**, the word for "**zero**". This followed the NP to which it referred. Naturally, it took a classifier.

Te cotu roikã, alua loru obe feli

LOC tree DEM.DIST, bird black zero tail.CLFR
"There are no black birds in yonder tree"

VPs were negated with **sã**, the word for "**not**". This preceded its VP referent.

Fai sã mosoku

1PS.EQ not DYN.INTR.know
"I do not know"

If the referent was an SVC, sã still preceded.

Do sã peita xale mogai

1PP.INCL.EQ not start sail tomorrow "We will not set sail tomorrow"

Both negators presented issues of negative scope. The last example could be read as either:

We will not set sail tomorrow, we will do something else

OR ...

We will not set sail tomorrow, but on another day

In English we often use intonation to indicate the precise element being negated. In Õtari, the last example was given the former reading. For the latter reading, $\boldsymbol{s}\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}$ was moved and \boldsymbol{no} , the focus particle for exhaustive listing, was added.

Do peita xale, sã mogai no 1PP.INCL.EQ start sail not tomorrow FOC "We will set sail, but/only not tomorrow"

Also, the birds tree example could be read as either:

There are no black birds in yonder tree

OR ...

There are birds in yonder tree, but not black ones

Again, the first reading is assumed unless **no** is employed.

Te cotu roikã alua, loru no obe felí LOC tree DEM.DIST bird, black FOC zero tail.CLFR "There are birds in yonder tree, but/only no black ones"

The two negative pronouns were: $sal\tilde{u}b\tilde{e}$, "nobody", ($salu + ob\tilde{e}$) and $r\tilde{a}b\tilde{e}$, "nothing" ($r\tilde{a} + ob\tilde{e}$). Their Standard English equivalents take a positive verb, but Õtari used a negative verb, like some kinds of colloquial English, Cockney for example or African-American.

Sã sawa salübe moteme

Not come nobody DYN.INTR.see "Nobody came to see"

5.5. Questions and Answers

Answers are declarative statements, much like those above. However, they have their quirks, so best treated here, alongside questions.

5.5.1. Polar Questions

Polar questions questioned the applicability of a predicate to their subject. Unlike in standard English, they kept the same word order as the declarative clause from which they derived. The only difference was that a question particle, usually $m\tilde{e}$, was placed at the end.

The particle was offset by a comma in writing or a slight pause in speech. Some kinds of colloquial English have a similar construction, using huh? As in English, a questioning intonation was employed.

Possessive, locative and existential constructions (**5.1.1** to **5.1.3** above) were the easiest clauses to question.

The answer involved either *ce*, for "*yes*" or *sã* for "*no*". We have already met *ce* as an equative particle (*Section 5.1.4, Statements of Identity* above) and *sã* for negating a verbal predicate. In answers it was also used to deny nominal predicates and here it translated both "no" and "not".

A: Ce, kabua kuokā jo wāYes, boat DEM.MED DAT 1PS.HON
"Yes that boat belongs to me"

A: Sã, kabua kuokã sã jo wã

No, boat DEM.MED not DAT 1PS.HON
"No that boat belongs to me"

Statements of identity and proper inclusion required the use of **ce** as an equative particle (**5.1.4** to **5.1.5** above). In a positive reply, the equative particle **ce** was therefore used twice: once as an equative and once to mean "**yes** (**that** is **the case**)".

Q: Xukã ce kubu, mẽ?

DEM.PROX = spear, Q?
"Is this a spear?";
"This is a spear, huh?"

A: Ce, kuokã ce kubu

Yes, DEM.MED = spear "Yes, that is a spear"

A: Sã, kuokã sã ce kubu

No, DEM.MED not = spear "No, that is not a spear"

A simple subject NP with a simple verbal predicate was also easy to question.

Kũdu mocenye, mẽ?

Dog DYN.INTR-eat Q? "Is the dog eating?"

A simple noun-verb-noun transitive clause was just as easy to question.

Q: Kũ teme yomai, mẽ?

2PS.HON see fish, Q? "Did you see the fish?"

A: Ce, wã teme yomai

Yes, 1PS.HON see fish
"Yes, I saw the fish"

A: Sã, wã sã teme yomai

No, 1PS.HON not see fish "No, I did not see the fish"

A ditransitive question (and its answer) was similarly formed. One simply added extra NPs and PrepPs to the end of the clause. Ambiguity was possible though, between VPs that were subordinate to the subject NP and VPs that were main predicate items.

In some cases a subject noun phrase was boundary-marked by a demonstrative, number or possessive phrase. Verbs subordinate to the subject noun came before the boundary-marker and verbal predicates came after.

Kubu atau xukā xenya mē?Spear sharp DEM.PROX new Q?
"Is this sharp spear new?"

However, a boundary marker was not always present. As with statements, there was the option to insert a pronoun recapitulating the subject, or one could just rely on context and intonation.

Kubu atau xenya me?

Spear sharp new Q?
"Is the sharp spear new?"

Kubu atau, e xenya me?

Spear sharp 3PS.EQ new Q?
"The sharp spear, is it new?"

The focus particles could also be used in questions. Their use corresponded to various intonation changes in English. At the end of a clause, they merged with the question particle. So, for example $\boldsymbol{k\tilde{o}}$ plus $\boldsymbol{m\tilde{e}}$ became $\boldsymbol{ku\tilde{e}}$. $\boldsymbol{K\tilde{o}}$ was used in the reply.

Q: Kubu xukã atau kuẽ?

Spear DEM.PROX sharp FOC.Q?
"Is this spear really sharp?"

A: Ce, kubu kuokā atau kõ

Yes, spear DEM.MED sharp FOC "Yes, that spear really is sharp"

As in English, shorter answers may also be provided to any of the questions shown above.

Ce - Yes

Sã - No

Ce, kõ! - Yes, FOC! ; "Yes, indeed! ; Definitely! ; Yay!" (etc.)

Sã, kõ! - No, FOC! ; "No, certainly not! ; No way!" (etc.)

Teme - Yes, I saw it

Sã teme - No I did not see it

5.5.2. Confirmation Questions

Confirmation questions in English work like a normal polar question worked in Õtari. They consist of a normal clause followed by a question expression.

This flower is beautiful, isn't it?

Õtari also took this approach. However, it changed the question expression to $mu\tilde{a}i$. Like $m\tilde{e}_{r}$ coould contract with the emphatic focus particle $k\tilde{o}$. In this case the result was $ku\tilde{a}i$.

Q: Yere xukã petu, muãi?

Flower DEM.PROX beautiful, CONF.Q?
"This flower is beautiful, isn't it?"

A: Ce, yere xukã petu

Yes, flower DEM.PROX beautiful "Yes, this flower is beautiful"

Q: Yere xukã petu, kuãi?

Flower DEM.PROX beautiful, yes FOC.CONF.Q?
"This flower certainly is beautiful, isn't it?"

A: Ce, yere xukã petu, kõ

Yes, flower DEM.PROX beautiful, FOC
"Yes, this flower certainly is beautiful"

Again, shorter response patterns were available:

Ce, muãi? - Yes, it is, isn't it? [etc.]
Ce, kõ! - Indeed, it is! Isn't it just? [etc.]

To deny the expection of the questioner, the negative marker can be employed sã. However, if the questioner had a negative expection, it was contradicted by **woi**, a **"contrastive yes"** word, that functioned like French **"si"**.

Q: Yere xukã sã petu-petu, muãi?

Flower DEM.PROX NEG beautiful~beautiful, CONF.Q? "This flower doesn't look very nice, does it?"

A: Woi, petu-petu

Yes, beautiful~beautiful "Yes, it does look nice"

A: Woi - "Yes, it does, actually"

A: Woi, kõ! - Of course it does!

Like *ce*, *woi* could also be used as a copula with nominal predicates. It took this role when answering a statement perceived as incorrect.

Xukã sã ce yere

DEM.PROX NEG = flower
"This is not a flower"

A: Xukã woi yere

DEM.PROX = flower
"This is a flower"

A: Xukã woi yere, kõ!

DEM.PROX = flower FOC
"Of course, it's a flower"

5.5.3. Exclamatory Questions

Exclamatory questions ("Surely not?", etc.) are best kept until Section 5.6 below, which introduces the concept of exclamation.

5.5.4. Questions with Question Words

When questioning a particular item in a clause, it was replaced by a question word. In English, this question word would be moved to the front of the sentence, but in Õtari, it remained in situ.

Q: Xukã ce mã?

DEM.PROX = what?
"What is this?"

A: Xukã ce fãtu

DEM.PROX = fruit "This is a fruit"

Q: Kubu fai mokai?

Spear 1PS.EQ where? "Where is my spear?"

A: Kubu si xuã

Spear 2PS.EQ here "Your spear is here"

Q: Melu teyo yere aumi?

Who pick flower red
"Who picked the red flower?"

A: Fai teyo e

1PS.EQ pick 3PS.EQ "I picked it"

Q: Ule teyo mã yolu esã?

Man pick what FOR.give woman
"What did the man pick to give to the woman?"

A: E teyo yere aumî

3PS.EQ pick flower red "He picked a red flower"

5.6. Exclamations

Another expression that required a particle at the end of the sentence was the exclamation. This took the exclamative phrase: **ce**, **kõ!**, encountered above **(5.5.1)** with the meaning of "**yes**, **indeed**". This also functioned like a spelt-out exclamation mark.

Xukã ce yere petu, ce kõ!

DEM.PROX = flower beautiful, EXCL!
"What a beautiful flower!"

Without ce, the $k\tilde{o}$ would be read as referring only to the last word or phrase. As noted when it introduced (5.1.4 Statemens of Identity) ce can function like an equals sign. Used thus, it equates all before it with all after, so with exclamations it is saying: whole clause = focus.

Exclamatory questions express disbelief or incredulity. Some exclamatory questions combined the exclamatory strategy above with the polar question particle **mue**, to produce the tag **ce kue**.

E petu, ce kue?!

3PS.EQ beautiful = FOC.Q?!
"Isn't it beautiful!?"

Others combined $\tilde{a}i$, the focus particle expressing surprise or contrast with one of the question words.

Si teme mãi!?

2PS.EQ see what.FOC "You saw wha-at!?"

5.7. Commands and Exhortations

These followed a single structure, the imperative. The imperative particle **coi** was placed at the end of the sentence. The only difference was that a command took a second person pronoun or none, whilst an exhortation took a first person pronoun.

Si yũteyo fai aumĩ, coi

2PS.EQ FOR.pick 1PS.EQ flower IMP
"Pick me a flower"

Do ãcu teyo aumĩ, coi

1PP.INCL.EQ go pick flower, IMP
"We must go pick some flowers"

5.8. Requests and Suggestions

Looked at one way, a request is a toned-down command, and a suggestion a toned-down exhortation. In Õtari therefore, they followed a similar structure to their counterparts in 2.3, softened by the use of **orī**, "**please**".

Yũteyo fai aumĩ, coi orĩ

FOR.pick 1PS.EQ flower, IMP please "Pick me a flower, please"

Do ãcu teyo aumĩ, coi orĩ

1PP.INCL.EQ go pick flower, IMP please "Let's go pick some flowers"

5.9. Performatives

A performative clause does not merely report facts, but creates them. Such as these familiar clauses:

I name this ship
I pronounce you man and wife

As these English examples suggest, performative clauses tend to be uttered by authority figures. In Õtari society these would include village head-men, medicine women and the female heads of **mofu**. Õtari performatives had no special marking, they took the imperative.

Do jîbe deku xenya xuã, coi

1PP.INCL.EQ build village new here, IMP
"We will build the new village here"

5.10. Politeness

We have already met one of the most effective and widespread politeness strategies in Õtari. This was the use of the honorific series of pronouns (See **Section 3.1.6 Personal Pronouns**, above). Other common polite expressions included:

Saira - Welcome to
Saira kũ - You are welcome
Orĩ - Please ; OR, Thank you

An expression of politeness used as part of a full clause generally followed or preceded the rest of the clause. As in the examples under **5.8** just above.

5.11. Rudeness

We have also already encountered the main Õtari rudeness strategy, namely the use of the pejorative series of pronouns (See **Section 3.1.6 Personal Pronouns**, above). Many instances of their use were socially acceptable, such as their use with familiars and minors. However, usages deemed inappropriate were considered offensive.

The use of unsoftened commands to social superiors was also deemed offensive.

References to the lower half of the body were considered rude in some contexts as was discussion of bodily excretions, particularly vomiting, which implied a rejection of something.

6. PRAGMATICS

Pragmatics studies the relationship between meaning and context. It covers a wide range of spoken language, such as filler words, turn-taking and the links between words and body language. At this distance in time we are unable to study such phenomena with respect to Õtari. This section is therefore confined discussion of topicality and focus, which can be observed in surviving written sources.

6.1. Topicality

A topic in the linguistic sense is older, more salient information. The most topical noun phrases in Õtari were subjects, followed by direct objects, datives, required locatives and finally obliques.

6.1.1. Topicality and Valence Adjustment

As we have seen, noun phrases could be moved up this hierarchy, but only if the move was accompanied by a change of verbal voice or the incorporation of a preposition into the verb . This process was the main indication of topicality in.

For example, the two passive voices allowed a patient to move up to subject and the incorporation of a dative preposition allowed the indirect object to move up to applied object.

Õtari

Sukūde bepaya ga Runyo

Lucky DYN.PAS-hit INS Eagle
"Lucky was getting hit by Eagle"

Ule jolu esã yere aumī

3PS.EQ DAT-give woman flower red

"The man gave the woman a red flower"

Movement could occur down the hierarchy, too. A causative voice introduced a new subject and moved the old subject down to object position.

Peyalua kãikõbi ixe

COL.bird DYN.INTR-dark sky

"The flock of birds darkened the sky"

6.1.2. Topicality and Transitivity

As noted in Section **5.2.3 Transitive Clauses**, the subject of a transitive or ditransitive verb had to be definite.

Ule tobi alua

Man catch bird

"The man caught a/the bird"

The subject of an intransitive verb could be definite or indefinite, but intransitive verbs (plus their dependents) followed definite subjects and preceded indefinite ones.

Ule sego

Man run

"The man is running"

Sẽgo ule

Run man

"A man is running"; "There is a man running"

A definite NP is more topical than an indefinite, hence there was a relationship, between subjecthood, transitivity and topicality in Õtari.

6.1.3. Topicality and Pronoun Dropping

Topical noun phrases were often repeated as pronouns in subsequent phrases or clauses. These pronouns could also be dropped wherever sense allowed. This happened most often to the subjects of clauses, but objects could be dropped too.

Ule teyo yere aumĩ. Olu jo esã

Man pick flower red. Ø give Ø DAT woman

"The man picked a red flower. He gave it to the woman"

A prepositional object that was becoming important was usually moved up to object position first before it was dropped. Thus the pronoun-dropping system interacted with the valence adjustment system.

Roi wemoteme jo cotu.

Boy INTENT.DYN-INTR.see DAT tree.

"The boy looked at the tree.

E mõbaxuri e. Mieiko.

3PS.EQ up-climb 3PS.EQ. Ø in.sit Ø

"He climbed up it. He sat in it"

Pronouns were used for clarity and emphasis. This was particularly true of third person pronouns, which always replaced an earlier noun phrase. First and second person pronouns were needed for first mentions, but then dropped most of the time.

E xuãi teme e

3PS.EQ want see 3PS.EQ

"He wants to see it"

(i.e.: "He", not someone else)

Ucī ãcu jo iwã. Xuãi masũ yomai

1PP.EXCL.EQ go DAT river. Ø want hunt fish

"We are going to the river. We want to go fishing"

Pronouns and nouns were often retained when their referents changed their role from one clause to the next. This was done to avoid ambiguity. There were however no hard and fast rules.

Ucī teme gomasũ jaiko bini iwã.

1PP.EXCL.EQ see hunter while Ø by river

"We saw the hunters when we were by the river"

Ata sego masũ cada. (Rai) omasũ

3PP.EQ run catch animal. Ø/3PS.PEJ STV.PAS.catch

"They were running to catch an animal. It got caught"

In sentences like the one above, *rai* was usually droppable as that is clearly what was being hunted and the verb is marked to show that a former object has moved up to subject. It might be best left in though if talking about a large animal that was capable of catching the hunters.

Pronouns were also retained where they were required to signal relative social standing.

Bede ãcu jo iwã, kuolĩ. Xuãi masũ yomai.

1PP.EXCL.HON go DAT river, then. Ø want hunt fish.

"We were going to the river, then. We wanted to catch some fish"

Xiã lai, kãyijũ bede

3PP.PEJ but, CAUS.cease 1PP.EXCL.HON

"They however, stopped us."

Here the final **bede** could be dropped as "**we**" are the discourse topic. The retention of this honorific however, emphasises that we are better people than those who stopped us which suggests in turn that we were within our rights and our opponents overstepped the mark.

In extended discourse, nouns and pronouns were often retained purely to remind the listener who or what was being discussed.

Roi wemoteme jo cotu.

Boy INTENT.DYN-INTR.see DAT tree.

"The boy looked at the tree"

E mõbaxuri e. Mieiko.

3PS.EQ up-climb 3PS.EQ. Ø in.sit Ø

"He climbed up it. He sat in it"

Cotu seku-seku. Kuõ moteme sacu

Tree high-high. Ø can DYN-INTR.see far.

"The tree was very tall. He could see a long way"

Notice that **roi** can be safely omitted in the last sentence even though the tree became the subject of the sentence before. This is because "**the boy**" is the discourse topic, that which the whole text is about. Also of course, boys can see and trees cannot.

Cotu however is repeated, even though it has already reduced to a pronoun and then a gap. This is because the tree has changed its role from indirect object of the first clause, to direct object of the second and third, then to subject of the fourth.

As omission was used for topical, known information, it was not used to indicate an unknown participant. An unknown participant was introduced with an indefinite pronoun. Once established, it could then be omitted in later sentences, like any other noun.

A generic object: "**stuff / things in general**" took the intransitive voice of a transitive verb.

Salu suo koye moteme

Person all head.CLFR DYN.INTR.see "People see things"

6.2. Focus

Focus was defined in **Section 4.3.3 Focus Phrases** in the terms favoured in Describing Morphosyntax, by Thomas Paine. For Paine focus is a pragmatic status, not present in all sentences.

In Õtari, focus could be marked by one of two strategies: by movement or by focus particles, (introduced in Section **3.3.6 Focus Particles**). It could also be marked with both. All the devices presented below follow one of these approaches and have been introduced previously. They are repeated here so that the treatment of focus in Õtari may be viewed in the round.

6.2.1 Focus by Movement

Focus within the clause was normally achieved by movement, though translations of the English cleft were not. Focus within the phrase required the use of focus particles. When focus was indicated by movement in Õtari, it was essentially presentative in nature. Old information was placed at the start of the clause and new information at the end. The old information served to present and contextualise the new.

Here is a reminder of the various kinds of focus by movement in Õtari. It is a moot point whether some of these moves serve to put one NP into focus or the other into a topical position.

6.2.2. Focus by Movement with Nominal Predicates

The normal possessive clause had the structure:

Jo NP1 NP2

Jo fai kabua

DAT 1PS.EQ boat

"I have a boat"

To put the possessor into focus, this was reversed:

NP2 jo NP1

Kabua jo fai

Boat DAT 1PS.EQ

"The boat belongs to me"

(See **Section 5.1.1 Possessive Clauses**)

The locative clause had a definite subject and the form:

NP1 te NP2

Ciro te gemai

Cat LOC vegetable patch
"The cat is in the vegetable patch"

The existential clause reversed this structure and had an indefinite subject:

Te NP2 NP1

Te gemai ciro

LOC vegetable patch cat

"There is a cat in the vegetable garden"

(See **5.1.2 Locative Constructions** and **5.1.3 Existential Constructions**, above)

6.2.3 Focus by Movement with Verbal Predicates

Atransitive clauses could switch from verb initial to verb final, when the action of the verb was in focus.

VP te NP

Asue te bosã

Rain LOC mountain
"Rain fell in the mountains"

Te NP VP

Te bosã asueLOC mountain rain
"In the mountains, rain fell"

(See **Section 5.2.1, Atransitive Clauses**, above)

As in most languages, new (indefinite) NPs were generally brought on stage with the use of intransitive verbs. Like the Romance languages in our world, Õtari placed these indefinite subjects after the verb, to put them into focus.

S V

Ule sego

Man run
"The man is running"

V S

Sẽgo ule

Run man
"A man is running"

In the ditransitive clause, an indirect object could be raised to a second direct object via a double object construction. This was achieved by incorporating the preposition *jo* into the verb and would be done if the IO is more topical than the DO, or to put the DO into focus.

S V DO jo IO

Motu olu jĩ xenya jo asữ kuei motu 3PP.HON give hut new DAT child girl 3PP.HON "They gave the new hut to their daughter"

S V IO DO

Motu jolu asữ kuei motu jĩ xenya 3PP.HON give child girl 3PP.HON hut new "They gave their daughter the new hut"

In a like manner the rest of the valence adjustment system implicitly put some arguments into focus at the same time as it made others more topical. This process is dealt with at length in Sections 3.2 and 5.2. It will not be further considered here, as it does not rely on movement alone.

6.2.4 Focus with Adjuncts

Adjuncts, or non-required phrases, generally carried new information and so were placed at the end of a neutral clause.

S V O Adjc

Goimelu pasũ yefa te gemai nyaAGT.medicine gather herb LOC vegetable.garden 3PS.HON

"The medicine woman was gathering herbs in her garden"

When they were placed between subject and verb, more emphasis was put on the verb.

S Adjc V O

Goimelu, te gemai nya, pasũ yefaAGT.medicine LOC vegetable.garden 3PS.HON gather herb
"The medicine woman was in her garden, gathering herbs"

When moved to the start of the sentence, the adjunct acted as a presentative, setting the scene before the subject was introduced and began to act.

Adjc S V O

Te gemai nya, goimelu pasũ yefaLOC vegetable.garden 3PS.HON AGT.medicine woman gather herb
"In her garden, the medicine woman was gathering herbs"

Initial position was also used when the adjunct was valid for several consecutive clauses.

6.2.5. Focus with Focus Particles

As noted in **Section 3.3.6**, there were three focus particles $k\tilde{o}$, the general emphatic particle, $\tilde{a}i$ for surprise or contrast and no for exhaustive listing. These handled focus within the phrase and translated the English cleft.

Word order within the phrase was fixed according to structural considerations and so not available as a focussing device.

Kubu xenya atau kõ

Spear be.new sharp FOC "Sharp new spear"

Kubu xenya kõ atau

Spear be.new FOC sharp "Sharp <u>new</u> spear"

When a phrase is nested within a phrase, it can be difficult finding the correct spot to place the focus particle. This proves problematic for a number of modern revivalists trying to learn the language. The above example shows the general principle: place the particle at the end of the phrase to which it applies.

The English cleft picks out an NP for special attention. Our clefts often move core arguments, but core arguments had to remain in situ in Õtari. Spoken English often keeps them in situ too, but uses intonation to distinguish them instead of a particle.

Fai teme Runyo kõ xumaxi bokai

1PS.EQ see Runyo FOC exit forest
"It was Runyo I saw coming out of the forest";
"I saw Runyo coming out of the forest"

6.2.6. Focus with Movement and Particles

When Ötari raised a prepositional argument to applied object, it also put the natural object into focus position by placing it at then end of the sentence. This device may be used along with a particle. The particle shows that the focus on the object was not merely a side-effect of raising the prepositional argument. The particle also adds emphasis.

Sukūde binifolu iwā, cani Akule ãi!

Sukude by.walk river, with Akule FOC "Sukude was walking by the river with Akule, of all people!"

Here the speaker is implying that the presumed liaison is somehow scandalous. They raise the prepositional phrase $biniiw\tilde{a}$ to form $binifoluiiw\tilde{a}$ in order to set the scene, then deliver their astonishing news. The focus particle is $\tilde{a}i$ to show contrast or surprise.

6.2.7. Focus or Reduplication?

Focus should be distinguished from reduplication which marks intensity (of verbs) and extent (of nouns). The boundary between the two concepts is not always clear. Where appropriate reduplication and focus particles can, of course, be used together.

Kubu kuokã atau-atau kõ!

Spear DEM.MED be.sharp FOC "That spear is <u>really</u> sharp!"

7. MULTI-CLAUSE SENTENCES

This section looks at the order of words in Õtari sentences with two or more clauses. Single clause sentences were dealt with above in **Section 5 Clauses** and **Section 6**, **Pragmatics**. Sentence types are introduced in approximate order of complexity, starting with those most loosely linked, where the component clauses are most easily distinguished.

Multi-clause sentences were used less in Õtari than in English. Many concepts which require complex sentences in English could be neatly expressed with a Serial Verb Construction (SVC) in Õtari. For details see **Section 5.2.6. Serial Verb Constructions**, above.

However, all the verbs in an Õtari SVC had to have the same subject, a restriction not found in Terran languages like Yoruba.

Gomasũ teme kubu wã durã

Hunter see spear 1PS-HON take
"The hunter saw my spear and he took it"

In Õtari, a multi-clause sentence had to be constructed whenever the subject changed.

Gomasũ durã kubu wã, wã da teme rai

Hunter see spear 1PS-EQ, 1PS-HON and see 3PS.PEJ

"The hunter took my spear and I saw him"

Note also the use of honorific and pejorative pronouns in these two examples, to show that the speaker considers himself superior to that thief of a hunter. The position of *da* is explained immediately below in *7.1*.

7.1. Co-ordination of Clauses

As in English, two (or more) independent clauses could be joined by a conjunction. Neither was required to complete the other and separate sentences were always an alternative. Co-ordination merely presented the clauses as conceptually linked in some way. Here are some types of co-ordination found in ancient Õtari.

7.1.1. Conjunction

Two clauses in a relation of conjunction are either both true or both false. The two clauses are joined in English by words and phrases such as: **and**, **also**, **plus**, **in addition**, **additionally**, **as well as** and many others. Such words were translated by just two Õtari words: **da** and **cani**. The corpus of surviving Õtari texts also features the occasional use of the verb **seka**, "**to add**" as a conjunctive particle.

As indicated above, **da** and **cani** were used to join two noun phrases (Section **4.1.1**), two verb phrases (**4.2.1**), two particle phrases (**4.3.5**) or two predicates (**4.3.8**).

They could also link two clauses to form a multi-clause sentence with the conjunction coming after the subject of the second clause. English can do this with "also" but not with "and". Õtari requires it with both da and cani. (see again Section 4.3.8)

S -> (NP V Part P) (NP Conj V NP)

Sukūde folu bini iwā, Akule da binifolu iwā

Sukude walk by river, Akule and by.walk river
"Sukude was walking by the river. Akule went walking by the river,
too"

Any number of additional clauses could be linked in this way. The conjunctive particle took the same position in each. The only exception was when the subject of the next clause was omitted. Then *da* or *cani* came first in the next clause.

Sukūde folu bini iwā da masū yomai

Sukude walk by river, Ø and hunt fish "Sukude went walking by the river and fishing"

In English, pronouns are commonly dropped as above in the second of two conjoined clauses. This happened much less in Õtari, because where the subject stayed the same, an SVC was preferred to two conjoined clauses. The example above uses two clauses though because the two events are not presented as a tightly-bound sequence.

As noted the verb **seka**, "**add**" seems to have served as a conjunction on occasion. It appears to have been simply a stylistic alternative to **da** and **cani** but was only used to link clauses. Evidence in the corpus is scant, but this is how contemporary Õtari revivalists use it.

Sukūde folu bini iwā, Akule seka binifolu kuo

Sukude walk by river, Akule add by.walk there "Sukude was walking by the river. Akule went walking there, too"

As in English, the above sentence could be shortened even further. It was permissible to have the two subjects share a predicate.

Sukūde da Akule folu bini iwā

Sukude and Akule walk by river "Sukude and Akule were walking by the river"

However, that would replace the entire second predicate, so the following construction was not possible.

*Sukūde folu bini iwã, Akule [do] da

Sukude walk by river, Akule do also "Sukude was walking by the river. Akule was too"

7.1.2. Disjunction

When two clauses in a relation of inclusive disjunction, one or both must be true. When they are in a relation of exclusive disjunction, one must be true and the other false.

In most languages of our world, these two senses are not distinguished. English "or" has both meanings and so did Õtari cai. It translated a range of English expressions, such as "or", "alternatively" and "on the other hand".

Like the conjunctive particles, **da** and **cani**, **cai** was used to join two noun phrases (Section **4.1.1**), two verb phrases (**4.2.1**), two particle phrases (**4.3.5**) or two predicates (**4.3.8**). It was used in a like manner, too. When joining two clauses for example, it was the second element in the second

clause. It could also be repeated for emphasis, with the sense of English "either ... or ..."

Sukude cai folu bini iwa, e cai binifolu sailu Sukude or walk by river, 3PS.EQ or by.walk lake "Sukude is either walking by the river, or walking by the lake"

Its negation **sãcai** had the sense of **"neither"**, **"nor"** or if repeated, **"neither ... nor ..."**

Sukude sacai folu bini iwa, e sacai binifolu sailu Sukude nor walk by river, 3PS.EQ nor by.walk lake "Sukude is neither walking by the river, nor walking by the lake"

7.2. Comparison of Clauses

In **Section 4.2.9 Comparison of Verb Phrases**, we noted that Õtari compared predicates with an exceeds construction.

Subject 1 exceeds Subject 2 concerning Predicate

Yere xukã ma kuokã wa petuFlower DEM.PROX exceed DEM.DIST concerning beautiful
"This flower is more beautiful than that one"

An extended version of this approach is used for the comparison of whole clauses. The first two examples below fit neatly into this framework. The only difference is that the predicate now consists of a dynamic verb + object instead of a lone stative verb. The comparison is enhanced by the use of honorific and pejorative pronouns.

Fai ma rai wa moji kũ

1PS.EQ exceed 3PS.PEJ concerning love 2PS.HON

"I love you more than he does"

Fai ma salu suo koye wa moji kũ

1PS.EQ exceed person all head.CLFR concerning love 2PS.HON

"I love you more than anyone else loves you"

The next two cases require a passive verb in order to fit them into the framework used above. They are thus very different to their English counterparts.

Kũ ma rai wa bemoji ga fai2PS.HON exceed 3PS.PEJ concerning DYN-PSV.love by 1PS.EQ
"I love you more than I love him"

Kũ ma salu suo koye wa bemoji2PS.HON exceed person all head.CLFR concerning DYN-PSV.love

ga fai by 1PS.EQ

"I love you more than I love anyone else"

Note that the second and fourth examples contain honorific pronouns without a corresponding pejorative. The contrasted element is the indefinite pronominal phrase *salu suo koye*. Like all indefinites it lacked a pejorative form.

7.3. Relative Clauses

A relative clause modified a noun. Its head noun could take any role in the main clause. Like all modifying elements in Õtari, the relative clause followed its referrent.

The relative clause was headed by the relativiser **bai**, which translated English "**that**". **Bai** also translated English relative pronouns like "**who**" and "**which**". Õtari lacked relative pronouns.

Unlike English "that", bai was not used as a demonstrative (See Section 3.1.11, Locative and Demonstrative Pronouns, above) or as a complementiser (See Section 7.5, Complement Clauses, below). It was

only used to link descriptive clauses to their referent. In the case of relative clauses the referent was a noun.

The patterns of the relative clause are shown below, running down the accessibility hierarchy. The subject and object of the relative clause, were replaced by gap:

S [Rel V O] V

Ule sawa bai jîbe jî xenya

Man come REL Ø build hut new
"Here comes the man who built the new hut"

S V [Rel S V Part]

Ule sawa bai fai teme loreu

Man come REL 1PS.EQ see Ø yesterday
"Here comes the man who I saw yesterday"

Indirect objects and obliques must first be raised to direct object by incorporating their preposition into the verb. Then they can be gapped, like any other direct object. In the first example below, an indirect object is raised which would have created a double object construction, but for the fact that the first object is now gapped.

SV[RelSVO]

Ule sawa bai uci jolu kubu

Man come REL 1PS.EXCL.EQ DAT.give Ø spear

"Here comes the man to whom we gave the spear"

S V [Rel S V]

Ule sawa bai do wagaba

Man come REL 1PS.INC.EQ about.talk Ø

"Here comes the man we were talking about"

The genitive and comparative were not gapped, but instead were replaced in the relative clause by a pronoun. This is called a resumptive pronoun, as it repeats an element in the same sentence.

S V [Rel S VO]

Ule sawa bai do soku wotai e

Man come REL 1PS.INCL.EQ know older.sibling 3PS.EQ "Here comes the man whose older sibling we know"

S V [Rel S V O Prep V V]

Ule sawa bai wã ma rai wa xume kamaMan come REL 1PS.HON exceed 3PS.PEJ concerning swim be.good
"Here comes the man that I swim better than"

7.4. Adverbial Clauses

As in any language, a wide range of adverbial clauses were licensed. These were not required sentence elements but added extra clarifying information.

Adverbial clauses in were much less common in Ötari than in English as serial verbs were used in preference wherever possible (**Section 5.2.6**, **Serial Verb Constructions**). They were used where the subject did not change and a link word was not required between the verbs. Examples of both SVCs and multi-clause sentences are given below.

7.4.1. Locative Clauses

These clause locate the action of the main clause in time or space.

7.4.1.1. Temporal Locative Clauses

Temporal clauses in Õtari often take *jaiko* which can describe any simultaneous actions or states. Thus, it translated our "as", "during", "while" and "whilst". It also translated English "when" in the sense of "simultaneous with". As noted in Sections 3.1.9-3.1.10, interrogative pronouns could not double up as correlatives like they do in English.

Do meuna jaiko asue

1PP.EQ.INC DYN.INTR-happy when rain "We will be(come) happy when the rains come"

Note how the causative, becoming form of **euna** is used (**Section 3.2.12 Deriving Dynamic Verbs from Statives**). The subject of **asue** is unexpressed as the verb has the eventive as its home voice. (**3.2.8**). A more literal translation of the sentence might be something like "**We will get made happy when it rains**".

There was also the option to use a prepositional phrase to express temporal location, followed by a relative clause. As this results in a longer sentence, the *jaiko* construction was generally preferred.

Do meuna te nyomã bai asue

1PP.EQ.INC DYN.INTR-happy LOC time REL rain "We will be(come) happy when the rains come"

The order of the two clauses could be reversed without altering the meaning.

Te nyomã bai asue, do meuna

LOC time REL rain, 1PP.EQ.INC DYN.INTR-happy "When the rains come, we will be(come) happy"

SVCs had a default sequential or causal reading, though some expressed simultaneity, provided the subject of both clauses was the same.

Runyo sawa sego

Eagle come run
"Eagle came running"

Events may also be described as *rawa*, "*before*"; *sĩde*, "*after*"; or *yedi*, "*until*" something else.

Ule aunyo te gemai rawa esã kuta koro

Man dig LOC garden before woman plant seed
"The men dug the garden before the women planted the seeds"

Clauses in this group may also be replaced by SVCs where the subject does not change between clauses.

Ata taunyo gemai kuta koro

3PP.EQ LOC.dig garden plant seed
"They dug the garden then planted seeds"

7.4.1.2. Spatial Locative Clauses

In English, words and phrases like "where", "wherever", "anywhere" and "everywhere" introduce spatial clauses that colocate the action of main clause at the same place as the action or state of the subordinate clause.

Spatial clauses in Õtari were often relative clauses that followed a prepositional locative phrase. As noted in the last section, interrogative pronouns such as **mokai**, "**where**" could not double up as correlatives like they do in English.

Tau otome xãu te kua bai goimelu yűji

1PS.PEJ meet 2PP.HON LOC place REL AGT.medicine dwell

"I will meet you where the medicine woman lives"

Note that the use of medicinal herbs was an occupation reserved for women, so the word $es\tilde{a}$, "woman" is redundant.

7.4.2. Manner Clauses

Manner clauses compare the action or state expressed in the main clause to that expressed in the subordinate clause. In English the manner clause is introduced by words or phrases such as: "like", "as", "how" or "in the way that".

Once again in Õtari interrogative pronouns such as "how" could not double up as correlatives, like they do in English. There was also no word for "like/as". The translation followed the pattern of our "in the way that" sentences.

Guai ceni kubu coi te japasa bai wã jîka

2PS.PEJ hold spear IMP in $\$ manner REL 1PS.HON DAT.show $\$ 0 "Hold the spear how I showed you"

Note the use of honorific pronouns to express my superiority whilst I am in the teaching role. Note also how *guai* may be dropped in the second clause, but only if we also incorporate the dative preposition *jo* into the verb *ĩka*. A preposition cannot stand alone without a referrent.

7.4.3. Purpose Clauses

Purpose clauses outline the goal that motivates the action of the main clause. In English, they are introduced by words and phrases such as: "in order to", "so that", "in order that".

In Õtari, purpose clauses were divided into two types: those where the intention was realised and those where it may or may not have been realised. Where the intention was realised, the purpose clause was introduced by the word $y\tilde{u}$. We have already met $y\tilde{u}$, as a preposition meaning "for". It may also be used as a conjunction with the meaning "so (that)".

Do kuri taji yũ yomai mixume

1PS.INCL.EQ place net for fish in.swim Ø
"We set the nets so that the fish will swim into them"

Many purposive statements in Õtari were serial verb constructions as the initial action and intended result had the same subject. These also fell into realised and possibly realised classes. Where the actor's purpose is realised there is no purposive word, just a list of actions.

Ucī ãcu jo yowa iwã masũ yomai

1PP.EXCL.EQ go DAT mouth river hunt fish "We went to the river mouth to catch fish"

Where the actor's purpose is not realised, the verb **jenya**, **"to intend to do"** came between the initial action and the result. The result was expressed as a complement clause (see **Section 7.5.1** below, **Object Complement Clauses**).

7.4.4. Reason Clauses

Reason clauses describe the spur that gives rise to the action of the main clause. If a purpose clause describes the "pull" factors motivating an act, a reason clause describes the "push" factors behind it.

English reason clauses are introduced by words and phrases such as: "because", "since", "as" and "given (that)". In Õtari, reason clauses were introduced by *ijo*, "because".

Rai peita cenye îjo xupata gatai

3PS.PEJ begin eat because be healthy again "He began to eat because he was well again"

The pejorative pronoun is used here with sympathetic intent. It means "poor him!"

7.4.5. Sequence Clauses

Sequence clauses tell us what happened after the action or state described in the main clause. English sequence clauses are introduced by words and phrases such as: "then" and "next". In Õtari sequence clauses, both were translated by wato.

Ule aunyo te gemai wato esã kuta koro

Man dig LOC garden next woman plant seed
"The men dug the garden then the women planted seeds"

Sequentiality and causality were the default readings of the Õtari serial verb construction, so sequential SVCs abounded where the subject of both clauses was the same.

Ucĩ taunyo gemai kuta koro

1PP.EQ.EXCL LOC.dig garden plant seed
"We dug the garden then planted the seeds"

An SVC was by no means obligatory in such a situation. It was permissible to add **wato**, to emphasis the sequentiality, though this option was only excercised occasionally.

7.4.6. Result Clauses

Result clauses describe the consequence of the act or state described in the main clause. In English, result clauses are introduced with two-stage constructions like: "so/such ... (with the result) that ..."

In Õtari this use of "that" is translated as bai. As noted in Section 7.3, Relative Clauses, the Õtari used bai to link a descriptive clause to its referent. The referent could be a noun as in Section 3, or a verb as here.

Taji õ piẽda jai yomai bai do gã mõbatoleNet so full with fish COMPL 1PP.EQ.EXCL fight up.pull Ø
"The net was so full of fish that we struggled to haul it in"

The two-stage structure of the result clause meant it could not be replaced by an SVC, even when the main and result clauses had the same subject.

7.4.7. Circumstantial Clauses

Circumstantial clauses describe the means by which the action of the main clause was accomplished. English circumstantial clauses are commonly introduced with the instrumental preposition "by" which serves here as a conjunction.

We tricked the men from the next village by hiding in the woods

In Õtari clauses like this became SVCs as the subject remained the same the main clause. The instrumental verb came first.

Be soju mi cawa ĩga ule suo koye deku sãka 1PP.HON.EXCL hide in wood trick man all head.CLFR village next "We tricked the men from the next village by hiding in the woods"

A circumstantial clause with a change of subject was seen as essentially the same as a reason/causal clause, as per **Section 7.4.4** above.

7.4.8. Conditional Clauses

A conditional clause comes before or after its main clause and tells us the circumstances that will ensure that the action of the main clause happens. The basic conditional structure in English is:

English has a number of variants on this basic form, using tense and mood to convey different degrees of likelihood.

Õtari also had a basic conditional structure plus variants, but as it lacked grammatical tense and mood structures, it relied on particles to convey degrees of likelihood. The basic conditional sentence had either of these forms:

O X kiãu Y Y o X

O translated English "**if**" and **kiãu** was a special implicational "**then**" which could also translate as "**therefore**". The basic conditional structure was used for factual conditional statements. It covered both general, law-like conditionals and specific instances.

O asue kiãu sĩtaji peita emau

If Ø rain then vegetable begin grow "If it rains, then vegetables start to grow"

The above was an example of a law-like conditional. To give a more specific reference we can add some time particles. We might even replace *kiãu* with *kuolī*, "then/at that time" or wato, "then", "next" or "subsequently".

O asue tabai kuolî sîtaji do peita emau

If \emptyset rain soon, then vegetable 1PS.INC.EQ begin grow "If the rains come soon, our vegetables will start to grow"

If an initial condition was not guaranteed to give rise to the action of the main clause, then *maboye*, "*perhaps/maybe"* was inserted.

O asue tabai, wato maboye sĩtaji do emau

If Ø rain soon, then perhaps vegetable 1PS.INC.EQ grow **õku**

anyway

"If the rains come soon, our vegetables may still grow"

Where only one condition could possibly suffice, Õtari had a couple of strategies. It could replace **o** with **nyece bai**, "**provided that**". or add the exhaustive listing focus particle to the consequential clause.

Do meuna nyece bai asue1PP.EQ.INC DYN.INTR-happy provided that Ø rain
"We will be(come) happy provided that it rains"

Do meuna, asue no1PP.EQ.INC DYN.INTR-happy Ø rain FOC
"We will be(come) happy only if it rains"

All forms of conditional required their connectives, hence they could not be replaced by SVCs.

7.4.8.1. Hypothetical Conditional Clauses

A hypothetical conditional statement deals with a situation that is not factual, but possible. The Õtari hypothetical conditional was introduced by the "positing" verb **ofure**, **"to allow, assume, permit"**. This appeared in the eventive voice, to mark an unexpressed indefinite subject. The use of the connective **jẽ** will be explain below in **Section 7.5 Complement Clauses**.

O pofure jẽ fai yũji te Dora Odace

If Ø EVT.allow COMPL I live in Mohai

kiãu gaba ga Nomai Õtari

then Ø speak INSTR word Õtari

"If I lived in Mohai I would speak Õtari" ("Assuming that I lived ... ")

7.4.8.2. Counterfactual Conditional Clauses

A counterfactual conditional deals with a situation that is neither factual, nor possible. In English it is distinguished by its sequence of tenses.

If you had been at the feast, you would have enjoyed it

The first clause carries the implication that you were not at the feast. Õtari, of course, had no tense with which to distinguish its counterfactuals.

The Õtari counterfactual conditional relies instead on a "positing" verb, such as *dakoi*, "*to believe*" or *cegu*, "*to imagine or fantasise*". This appeared in the eventive voice, to mark an unexpressed indefinite subject. The use of the connective *je* will be explain below in *Section 7.5*.

Supposing A, then B

O pacegu je si te xolieuna kiau teji e If imagine COMPL 2PS.EQ LOC happy.meal then Ø enjoy it "If you had been at the feast you would have enjoyed it"

7.4.8.3. Negative Conditional Clauses

The negative conditional is a restrictive clause. It states what is required to bring something to pass. In Õtari, it was introduced by **nixu**, which translates English **"unless"**.

Nixu asue tabai, sĩtaji do sã emau Unless Ø rain soon, vegetable 1PP.EQ.INC not grow "Unless it rains soon, our vegetables will not grow"

7.4.9. Concessive Clauses

A concessive clause describes a situation that is adverse, but does not prevent the action of the main clause. In English, these clauses are introduced by words and phrases like "even though", "although", "though", "while" and "allowing".

Õtari concessives took a similar approach. They were introduced by words and phrases such as **noku**, "**despite**, **even if**, **even though**", and **ofure**, "**to allow**, **assume or permit**".

Noku bai olau oxu, do sã masũ yomai olĩDespite that sea calm, 1PP.INC.EQ no hunt fish today

"Even though the sea is calm, we will not fish today"

Ofure jẽ seya kiãu do masũ yomai õku

Assume COMPL Ø wind then 1PP.INC.EQ hunt fish all the same "Even if it is windy, we will still go fishing" ("Even if (it) winds ... ")

7.4.10. Substitutive Clauses

The substitutive clause describes an action that replaced the expected action of the main clause. Õtari linked the two clauses with **feitu**, **"instead of"**.

Be jai rakã, do naka suoka 1PP.EXCL.HON with neighbour, 1PP.INC.EQ create peace feitu dogã

instead RECIP.fight

"We and our neighbours made peace instead of fighting each other"

Note that we award ourselves honorific in relation to our warlike neighbours. Their lowly status and our high status combine in an equative resumptive pronoun: **do**. The above example could have been rendered as a serial verb construction, simply by replacing **feitu** with **sã**, **"not"**.

7.4.11. Additive Clauses

An additive clause, obviously, adds something to something else. It is more emphatic than other additive devices and carries a sense of "and moreover". Õtari linked main and additive clauses with paseka bai which translates English "adding that". In both languages the additive clause could come before or after the main clause.

Paseka bai wu jolu okome oyo,

EVT.add REL 1PP.INC.PEJ DAT.give guest food,

josaju motu kua yũ paru coi

DAT.find 3PP.HON place for sleep IMP

"As well as feeding the guests we must find them somewhere to sleep"

Notice here how both clauses are double objects constructions with indirect objects raised to applied object positions. Notice also that one imperative particle governs both clauses.

The presence of two objects in each clause meant that the above example could not be turned into a serial verb construction. However many additive clauses converted easily into SVCs.

Do saju ranya folu jo Tayolu

1PP.INC.EQ find trail walk DAT Tayolu "We found the trail and walked to Tayolu"

7.4.12. Absolutive Clauses

English absolutive clauses consist of little more than a verb standing in apposition to the main clause. These constructions were best translated into Õtari with a sequential construction plus a temporal locative. (Temporal locatives were already introduced in Section 4.1.1 above).

Sîde bai alaxo okome nile deku

After REL pack guest leave village "Having packed, the guests left the village"

7.5. Complement Clauses

Whereas a relative clause modifies an argument of the verb, a complement clause is itself an argument of the verb. These were not as common in Õtari as in English. In Õtari, when the subjects of two verbs is identical, a serial verb is used, where English has a complement clause.

S-V-[S-V-V]

I know I must go

I know [I must go]

Here the clause "*I must go"* is the object of the main verb know. This is rendered into Õtari by a serial verb construction.

S-V-V-0

Fai soku luwa kuã

1PS.EQ know must go "I know I must go"

Where an intention to act was definitely realised, a serial verb construction was required.

E sawa jî cenye rã duã ja

1PS.EQ go hut eat thing one GENERAL.CLFR "He went home to eat something" (... and did)

However, Õtari did require a complement clause, where the subjects of two verbs differed. Unlike in English and most other European languages, the Õtari complement clause was headed by a different word to the relative clause. The relativiser was of course \pmb{bai} , but the complementiser was $\pmb{j\tilde{e}}$.

7.5.1. Object Complement Clauses

The object complement clause was a whole clause that served as the object to the verb of the main clause. In Õtari, it had to have a different subject to the main clause.

S-V-[S-V-V]

I know you must go
"I know [you must go]"

S-V-[S-V-V]

Tau soku jẽ kũ luwa kuã 1PS.PEJ know COMPL 2PS.HON must go "I know you must go" As discussed above, if the subject of both clauses was the same, a serial verb was normally required. However, if the subject remained the same and the complement clause used the copula \boldsymbol{ce} , then $\boldsymbol{j\tilde{e}}$ was required. This apparent exception probably arose because \boldsymbol{ce} was a particle and not a verb

Fai soku jẽ ce kamuai asũ si

1PS.EQ know COMP \emptyset = father child 2PS.EQ "I know I am the father of your child"

Where an intention to act may not have been realised, the verb **jenya**, "to intend to do" was required, along with je .

E sawa jî jenya jê cenye rã duã ja

1PS.EQ go hut intend COMP Ø eat thing one GENERAL.CLFR "He went home (in order) to eat something"

(... and may or may not have done so)

Indirect causation was often expressed by means of an object complement instead of a causative voice. A serial verb construction was not possible as the two verbs had different subjects.

*Guai ekatu nya malai

2PS.PEJ cause 3PS.HON fall
"You caused him to fall"

Guai ekatu jẽ nya malai

2PS.PEJ cause COMPL 3PS.HON fall "You caused him to fall"

7.5.2. Subject Complement Clauses

The subject complement clause was a whole clause that served as subject to the verb in the main clause. In these situations, English has two options. One was to place the subject clause in normal subject position, the other was to move it to the end of the sentence and put a "dummy" pronoun in subject position.

S-V-[S-V-V]

That you must go saddens us "[That you must go] saddens us"

S-V-O Compl [S-V-V]

It saddens us that you must go
"It saddens us [that you must go]"

Õtari could only use the first of these approaches as the language lacked dummy pronouns. Naturally, the subject of these two clauses in Õtari could only be different, so SVCs were not an option.

[Compl S-V-AdvP] V O

Je ku luwa kua kaipo wode COMP 2PS.HON must go CAUS.sad 1PP.PEJ.EXCL "That you must go saddens us"; "It saddens us that you must go"

English often introduces clauses with an adverb giving the speaker's opinion, such as "unfortunately" or "naturally". In Õtari, these were replaced by a subject complement clause. This worked like English "It is unfortunate that ...". As noted though, Õtari lacked both dummy pronouns and the verb "to be".

[Compl S-V-O-AdvP] V

Je motu nile deku wosa mejoku

COMPL 3PP.HON leave village already be.unfortunate

"Unfortunately, they have already left the village"

* * *